

EXACT CLASSICAL QUANTUM MECHANICAL SOLUTIONS FOR ONE- THROUGH TWENTY-ELECTRON ATOMS

Randell L. Mills, BlackLight Power, Inc., 493 Old Trenton Road, Cranbury, NJ 08512
(609)490-1090, rmills@blacklightpower.com, www.blacklightpower.com

ABSTRACT

It is true that the Schrödinger equation can be solved exactly for the hydrogen atom; although, it is not true that the result is the exact solution of the hydrogen atom. Electron spin is missed entirely, and there are many internal inconsistencies and nonphysical consequences that do not agree with experimental results. The Dirac equation does not reconcile this situation. Many additional shortcomings arise such as instability to radiation, negative kinetic energy states, intractable infinities, virtual particles at every point in space, the Klein paradox, violation of Einstein causality, and "spooky" action at a distance. Despite its successes, quantum mechanics (QM) has remained mysterious to all who have encountered it. Starting with Bohr and progressing into the present, the departure from intuitive, physical reality has widened. The connection between quantum mechanics and reality is more than just a "philosophical" issue. It reveals that quantum mechanics is not a correct or complete theory of the physical world and that inescapable internal inconsistencies and incongruities arise when attempts are made to treat it as a physical as opposed to a purely mathematical "tool". Some of these issues are discussed in a review by Laloë [1]. But, QM has severe limitations even as a tool. Beyond one-electron atoms, multielectron-atom quantum mechanical equations cannot be solved except by approximation methods involving adjustable-parameter theories (perturbation theory, variational methods, self-consistent field method, multi-configuration Hartree Fock method, multi-configuration parametric potential method, $1/Z$ expansion method, multi-configuration Dirac-Fock method, electron correlation terms, QED terms, etc.)—all of which contain assumptions that cannot be physically tested and are not consistent with physical laws. In an attempt to provide some physical insight into atomic problems and starting with the same essential physics as Bohr of e^- moving in the Coulombic field of the proton and the wave equation as modified after Schrödinger, a classical approach was explored which yields a model which is remarkably accurate and provides insight into physics on the atomic level [2-4]. Physical laws and intuition are restored when dealing with the wave equation and quantum mechanical problems. Specifically, a theory of classical quantum mechanics (CQM) was derived from first principles that successfully applies physical laws on all scales. Rather than use the postulated Schrödinger boundary condition: " $\Psi \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ ", which leads to a purely mathematical model of the electron, the constraint is based on experimental observation. Using Maxwell's equations, *the classical wave equation is solved with the constraint that the bound $n = 1$ -state electron cannot radiate energy*. The electron must be extended rather than a point. On this basis with the assumption that physical laws including Maxwell's equation apply to bound electrons, the hydrogen atom was solved exactly from first principles. The remarkable agreement across the spectrum of experimental results indicates that this is the correct model of the hydrogen atom. In this paper, the physical approach was applied to multielectron atoms that were solved exactly disproving the deep-seated view that such exact solutions cannot exist according to quantum mechanics. The general solutions for

one through twenty-electron atoms are given. The predictions of the ionization energies are in remarkable agreement with the experimental values known for 400 atoms and ions.

Key Words: Maxwell's equations, nonradiation, quantum theory, special and general relativity, ionization energies, one through twenty-electron-atom solutions

INTRODUCTION

The hydrogen atom is the only real problem for which the Schrödinger equation can be solved without approximations; however, it only provides three quantum numbers—not four, and inescapable disagreements between observation and predictions arise from the later postulated Dirac equation as well as the Schrödinger equation [2-9]. Furthermore, unlike physical laws such as Maxwell's equations, it is always disconcerting to those that study quantum mechanics (QM) that the particle-wave equation and the intrinsic Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (HUP) must be accepted without any underlying physical basis for fundamental observables such as the stability of the hydrogen atom in the first place. In this instance, a circular argument regarding definitions for parameters in the wave equation solutions and the Rydberg series of spectral lines replaces a first-principles-based prediction of those lines. It was shown that the quantum theories of Bohr, Schrödinger, and Dirac provide no intrinsic stability of the hydrogen atom based on physics [2-9].

The Schrödinger equation was originally postulated in 1926 as having a solution of the one electron atom. It gives the principal energy levels of the hydrogen atom as eigenvalues of eigenfunction solutions of the Laguerre differential equation. But, as the principal quantum number $n \gg 1$, the eigenfunctions become nonsensical since they are sinusoidal over all space; thus, they are nonintegrable, cannot be normalized, and are infinite [10]. Despite its wide acceptance, on deeper inspection, the Schrödinger equation solution is plagued with many failings as well as difficulties in terms of a physical interpretation that have caused it to remain controversial since its inception. Only the one electron atom may be solved without approximations, but it fails to predict electron spin and leads to models with nonsensical consequences such as negative energy states of the vacuum, infinities, and negative kinetic energy. In addition to many predictions, which simply do not agree with observations, the Schrödinger equation and succeeding extensions such as the Dirac equation predict noncausality, nonlocality, spooky actions at a distance or quantum telepathy, perpetual motion, and many internal inconsistencies where contradicting statements have to be taken true simultaneously [4-9]¹.

¹ In quantum mechanics, the spin angular momentum of the electron is called the "intrinsic angular momentum" since no physical interpretation exists. (Currents corresponding to the observed magnetic field of the electron cannot exist in one dimension of four dimensional spacetime where Ampere's law and the intrinsic special relativity determine the corresponding unique current.) The Schrödinger equation is not Lorentzian invariant in violation of special relativity. It failed to predict the results of the Stern-Gerlach experiment which indicated the need for an additional quantum number.

Quantum electrodynamics was proposed by Dirac in 1926 to provide a generalization of quantum

Stability to radiation was ignored by all past atomic models. Bohr just postulated orbits stable to radiation with the further postulate that the bound electron of the hydrogen atom does not obey Maxwell's equations—rather it obeys different physics. Schrödinger and Dirac both used the Coulomb potential, and Dirac used the vector potential of Maxwell's equations. But, both ignored electrodynamics and the corresponding radiative consequences. Dirac originally attempted to solve the bound electron physically with stability with respect to radiation

mechanics for high energies in conformity with the theory of special relativity and to provide a consistent treatment of the interaction of matter with radiation. It is fatally flawed. From Weisskopf [11], "Dirac's quantum electrodynamics gave a more consistent derivation of the results of the correspondence principle, but it also brought about a number of new and serious difficulties." Quantum electrodynamics; 1.) Does not explain nonradiation of bound electrons; 2.) contains an internal inconsistency with special relativity regarding the classical electron radius—the electron mass corresponding to its electric energy is infinite (The Schrödinger equation fails to predict the classical electron radius); 3.) it admits solutions of negative rest mass and negative kinetic energy; 4.) the interaction of the electron with the predicted zero-point field fluctuations leads to infinite kinetic energy and infinite electron mass; 5.) Dirac used the unacceptable states of negative mass for the description of the vacuum; yet, infinities still arise. Dirac's postulated relativistic wave equation gives the inescapable result of a cosmological constant that is at least 120 orders of magnitude larger than the best observational limit due to the unacceptable states of negative mass for the description of the vacuum as discussed previously [4-9]. The negative mass states further create an absolute "ether"-like frame in violation of special relativity which was disproved by the Michelson-Morley experiment.

In retrospect, Dirac's equation which was postulated to explain spin relies on the unfounded notions of negative energy states of the vacuum, virtual particles, and gamma factors; thus, it cannot be the correct description of a bound electron even though it gives an additional quantum number interpreted as corresponding to the phenomenon of electron spin. Ironically, it is not even internally consistent with respect to its intent of being in accord with special relativity. In addition to violating Maxwell's equation with respect to stability to radiation wherein Maxwell's equations are implicit and the internal inconsistency with special relativity regarding the classical electron radius and states of negative rest mass and negative kinetic energy as given by Weisskopf [11], the Dirac equation violates Einstein causality and locality and conservation of energy as shown by the Klein Paradox discussed previously [4-9, 12]. Furthermore, everyday observation demonstrates that causality and locality always hold. Einstein also argued that a probabilistic versus deterministic nature of atomic particles leads to disagreement with special relativity. In fact, the nonlocality result of the Copenhagen interpretation violates causality as shown by Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen (EPR) in a classic paper [13] that presented a paradox involving instantaneous (faster-than-light) communication between particles called "spooky action at a distance" which led them to conclude that quantum mechanics is not a complete or correct theory.

according to Maxwell's equations with the further constraints that it was relativistically invariant and gave rise to electron spin [14]. He and many founders of QM such as Sommerfeld, Bohm, and Weinstein wrongly pursued a planetary model, were unsuccessful, and resorted to the current mathematical-probability-wave model that has many problems [4-9, 11-13]. Consequently, Feynman for example, attempted to use first principles including Maxwell's equations to discover new physics to replace quantum mechanics [15].

CLASSICAL QUANTUM THEORY OF THE ATOM BASED ON MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

In this paper, the old view that the electron is a zero or one-dimensional point in an all-space probability wave function $\Psi(x)$ is not taken for granted. The theory of classical quantum mechanics (CQM), derived from first principles, must successfully and consistently apply physical laws on all scales [2-7]. Historically, the point at which QM broke with classical laws can be traced to the issue of nonradiation of the one electron atom that was addressed by Bohr with a postulate of stable orbits in defiance of the physics represented by Maxwell's equations [2-9]. Later physics was replaced by "pure mathematics" based on the notion of the inexplicable wave-particle duality nature of electrons which lead to the Schrödinger equation wherein the consequences of radiation predicted by Maxwell's equations were ignored. Ironically, both Bohr and Schrödinger used the electrostatic Coulomb potential of Maxwell's equations, but abandoned the electrodynamic laws. Physical laws may indeed be the root of the observations thought to be "purely quantum mechanical", and it may have been a mistake to make the assumption that Maxwell's electrodynamic equations must be rejected at the atomic level. Thus, in the present approach, the classical wave equation is solved with the constraint that a bound $n = 1$ -state electron cannot radiate energy.

Thus, herein, derivations consider the electrodynamic effects of moving charges as well as the Coulomb potential, and the search is for a solution representative of the electron wherein there is acceleration of charge motion without radiation. The mathematical formulation for zero radiation based on Maxwell's equations follows from a derivation by Haus [16]. The function that describes the motion of the electron must not possess spacetime Fourier components that are synchronous with waves traveling at the speed of light. Similarly, nonradiation is demonstrated based on the electron's electromagnetic fields and the Poynting power vector.

It was shown previously [2-6] that CQM gives closed form solutions for the atom including the stability of the $n = 1$ state and the instability of the excited states, the equation of the photon and electron in excited states, the equation of the free electron, and photon which predict the wave particle duality behavior of particles and light. The current and charge

density functions of the electron may be directly physically interpreted. For example, spin angular momentum results from the motion of negatively charged mass moving systematically, and the equation for angular momentum, $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$, can be applied directly to the wave function (a current density function) that describes the electron. The magnetic moment of a Bohr magneton, Stern Gerlach experiment, g factor, Lamb shift, resonant line width and shape, selection rules, correspondence principle, wave particle duality, excited states, reduced mass, rotational energies, and momenta, orbital and spin splitting, spin-orbital coupling, Knight shift, and spin-nuclear coupling, and elastic electron scattering from helium atoms, are derived in closed form equations based on Maxwell's equations. The calculations agree with experimental observations. In contrast to the failure of the Bohr theory and the nonphysical, adjustable-parameter approach of quantum mechanics, the nature of the chemical bond is given in exact solutions of hydrogen molecular ions and molecules that match the data for 26 parameters [3]. In another paper, rather than invoking renormalization, untestable virtual particles, and polarization of the vacuum by the virtual particles, the results of QED such as the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, the Lamb Shift, the fine structure and hyperfine structure of the hydrogen atom, and the hyperfine structure intervals of positronium and muonium (thought to be only solvable using QED) are solved exactly from Maxwell's equations to the limit possible based on experimental measurements [6].

In contrast to shortcomings of quantum mechanical equations, with CQM, multielectron atoms can be exactly solved in closed form. Using the nonradiative wave equation solutions that describe the bound electron having conserved momentum and energy, the radii are determined from the force balance of the electric, magnetic, and centrifugal forces that corresponds to the minimum of energy of the system. The ionization energies are then given by the electric and magnetic energies at these radii. One through twenty-electron atoms are solved exactly except for nuclear hyperfine structure effects of atoms other than hydrogen. (The spreadsheets to calculate the energies are available from the internet [17]). For 400 atoms and ions the agreement between the predicted and experimental results are remarkable.

Using the same unique physical model for the two-electron atom in all cases, it was confirmed that the CQM solutions give the accurate model of atoms and ions by solving conjugate parameters of the free electron, ionization energy of helium and all two electron atoms, electron scattering of helium for all angles, and all He I excited states as well as the ionization energies of multielectron atoms given in this paper. Over five hundred conjugate parameters are calculated using a unique solution of the two-electron atom without any adjustable parameters to achieve overall agreement to the level obtainable considering the error in the measurements and the fundamental constants in the closed-form equations [5].

ONE-ELECTRON ATOMS

One-electron atoms include the hydrogen atom, He^+ , Li^{2+} , Be^{3+} , and so on. The mass-energy and angular momentum of the electron are constant; this requires that the equation of motion of the electron be temporally and spatially harmonic. Thus, the classical wave equation applies and

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] \rho(r, \theta, \phi, t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\rho(r, \theta, \phi, t)$ is the time dependent charge density function of the electron in time and space. In general, the wave equation has an infinite number of solutions. To arrive at the solution which represents the electron, a suitable boundary condition must be imposed. It is well known from experiments that each single atomic electron of a given isotope radiates to the same stable state. Thus, the physical boundary condition of nonradiation of the bound electron was imposed on the solution of the wave equation for the time dependent charge density function of the electron [2, 4]. The condition for radiation by a moving point charge given by Haus [16] is that its spacetime Fourier transform does possess components that are synchronous with waves traveling at the speed of light. Conversely, it is proposed that the condition for nonradiation by an ensemble of moving point charges that comprises a current density function is

For non-radiative states, the current-density function must NOT possess spacetime Fourier components that are synchronous with waves traveling at the speed of light.

The time, radial, and angular solutions of the wave equation are separable. The motion is time harmonic with frequency ω_n . A constant angular function is a solution to the wave equation. Solutions of the Schrödinger wave equation comprising a radial function radiate according to Maxwell's equation as shown previously by application of Haus' condition [4]. In fact, it was found that any function which permitted radial motion gave rise to radiation. A radial function which does satisfy the boundary condition is a radial delta function

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r_n) \quad (2)$$

This function defines a constant charge density on a spherical shell where $r_n = nr_1$ wherein n is an integer in an excited state, and Eq. (1) becomes the two-dimensional wave equation plus time with separable time and angular functions. Given time harmonic motion and a radial delta function, the relationship between an allowed radius and the electron wavelength is given by

$$2\pi r_n = \lambda_n \quad (3)$$

where the integer subscript n here and in Eq. (2) is determined during photon absorption as

given in the Excited States of the One-Electron Atom (Quantization) section of Ref. [4]. Using the observed de Broglie relationship for the electron mass where the coordinates are spherical,

$$\lambda_n = \frac{h}{p_n} = \frac{h}{m_e v_n} \quad (4)$$

and the magnitude of the velocity for *every* point on the orbitsphere is

$$v_n = \frac{\hbar}{m_e r_n} \quad (5)$$

The sum of the $|\mathbf{L}_i|$, the magnitude of the angular momentum of each infinitesimal point of the orbitsphere of mass m_i , must be constant. The constant is \hbar .

$$\sum |\mathbf{L}_i| = \sum |\mathbf{r} \times m_i \mathbf{v}| = m_e r_n \frac{\hbar}{m_e r_n} = \hbar \quad (6)$$

Thus, an electron is a spinning, two-dimensional spherical surface (zero thickness), called an *electron orbitsphere* shown in Figure 1, that can exist in a bound state at only specified distances from the nucleus determined by an energy minimum. The corresponding current function shown in Figure 2 which gives rise to the phenomenon of *spin* is derived in the Spin Function section. (See the Orbitsphere Equation of Motion for $\ell = 0$ of Ref. [4] at Chp. 1.)

Nonconstant functions are also solutions for the angular functions. To be a harmonic solution of the wave equation in spherical coordinates, these angular functions must be spherical harmonic functions [18]. A zero of the spacetime Fourier transform of the product function of two spherical harmonic angular functions, a time harmonic function, and an unknown radial function is sought. The solution for the radial function which satisfies the boundary condition is also a delta function given by Eq. (2). Thus, bound electrons are described by a charge-density (mass-density) function which is the product of a radial delta function, two angular functions (spherical harmonic functions), and a time harmonic function.

$$\rho(r, \theta, \phi, t) = f(r)A(\theta, \phi, t) = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r_n)A(\theta, \phi, t); \quad A(\theta, \phi, t) = Y(\theta, \phi)k(t) \quad (7)$$

In these cases, the spherical harmonic functions correspond to a traveling charge density wave confined to the spherical shell which gives rise to the phenomenon of orbital angular momentum. The orbital functions which modulate the constant "spin" function shown graphically in Figure 3 are given in the Angular Functions section.

SPIN FUNCTION

The orbitsphere spin function comprises a constant charge (current) density function with moving charge confined to a two-dimensional spherical shell. The magnetostatic current pattern of the orbitsphere spin function comprises an infinite series of correlated orthogonal great circle current loops wherein each point charge (current) density element moves time harmonically with constant angular velocity

$$\omega_n = \frac{\hbar}{m_e r_n^2} \quad (8)$$

The uniform current density function $Y_0^0(\phi, \theta)$, the orbitsphere equation of motion of the electron (Eqs. (13-14)), corresponding to the constant charge function of the orbitsphere that gives rise to the spin of the electron is generated from a basis set current-vector field defined as the orbitsphere current-vector field ("orbitsphere-cvf"). This in turn is generated over the surface by two complementary steps of an infinite series of nested rotations of two orthogonal great circle current loops where the coordinate axes rotate with the two orthogonal great circles that serve as a basis set. The algorithm to generate the current density function rotates the great circles and the corresponding x'y'z' coordinates relative to the xyz frame. Each infinitesimal rotation of the infinite series is about the new i'-axis and new j'-axis which results from the preceding such rotation. Each element of the current density function is obtained with each conjugate set of rotations. In Appendix III of Ref. [4], the *continuous* uniform electron current density function $Y_0^0(\phi, \theta)$ having the same angular momentum components as that of the orbitsphere-cvf is then exactly generated from this orbitsphere-cvf as a basis element by a convolution operator comprising an autocorrelation-type function.

For Step One, the current density elements move counter clockwise on the great circle in the y'z'-plane and move clockwise on the great circle in the x'z'-plane. The great circles are rotated by an infinitesimal angle $\pm\Delta\alpha_p$ (a positive rotation around the x'-axis or a negative rotation about the z'-axis for Steps One and Two, respectively) and then by $\pm\Delta\alpha_j$ (a positive rotation around the new y'-axis or a positive rotation about the new x'-axis for Steps One and Two, respectively). The coordinates of each point on each rotated great circle (x',y',z') is expressed in terms of the first (x,y,z) coordinates by the following transforms where clockwise rotations and motions are defined as positive looking along the corresponding axis:

Step One

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Delta\alpha_y) & 0 & -\sin(\Delta\alpha_y) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin(\Delta\alpha_y) & 0 & \cos(\Delta\alpha_y) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_x) \\ 0 & -\sin(\Delta\alpha_x) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Delta\alpha_y) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_y)\sin(\Delta\alpha_x) & -\sin(\Delta\alpha_y)\cos(\Delta\alpha_x) \\ 0 & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_x) \\ \sin(\Delta\alpha_y) & -\cos(\Delta\alpha_y)\sin(\Delta\alpha_x) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_y)\cos(\Delta\alpha_x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Step Two

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_x) \\ 0 & -\sin(\Delta\alpha_x) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Delta\alpha_z) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_z) & 0 \\ -\sin(\Delta\alpha_z) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Delta\alpha_z) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_z) & 0 \\ -\cos(\Delta\alpha_x)\sin(\Delta\alpha_z) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x)\cos(\Delta\alpha_z) & \sin(\Delta\alpha_x) \\ \sin(\Delta\alpha_x)\sin(\Delta\alpha_z) & -\sin(\Delta\alpha_x)\cos(\Delta\alpha_z) & \cos(\Delta\alpha_x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

where the angular sum is $\lim_{\Delta\alpha \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pi} |\Delta\alpha_{i,j}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pi$.

The orbitsphere-cvf is given by n reiterations of Eqs. (9) and (10) for each point on each of the two orthogonal great circles during each of Steps One and Two. The output given by the non-primed coordinates is the input of the next iteration corresponding to each successive nested rotation by the infinitesimal angle $\pm\Delta\alpha_i$ or $\pm\Delta\alpha_j$, where the magnitude of

the angular sum of the n rotations about each of the i' -axis and the j' -axis is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pi$. Half of the orbitsphere-cvf is generated during each of Steps One and Two.

Following Step Two, in order to match the boundary condition that the magnitude of the velocity at any given point on the surface is given by Eq. (5), the output half of the orbitsphere-cvf is rotated clockwise by an angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ about the z -axis. Using Eq. (10) with $\Delta\alpha_{i'} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\Delta\alpha_{j'} = 0$ gives the rotation. Then, the one half of the orbitsphere-cvf generated from Step One is superimposed with the complementary half obtained from Step Two following its rotation about the z -axis of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ to give the basis function to generate $Y_0^0(\phi, \theta)$, the orbitsphere equation of motion of the electron.

The current pattern of the orbitsphere-cvf generated by the nested rotations of the orthogonal great circle current loops is a continuous and total coverage of the spherical surface, but it is shown as a visual representation using 6 degree increments of the infinitesimal angular variable $\pm\Delta\alpha_{i'}$ and $\pm\Delta\alpha_{j'}$ of Eqs. (9) and (10) from the perspective of the z -axis in Figure 2. In each case, the complete orbitsphere-cvf current pattern corresponds all the orthogonal-great-circle elements which are generated by the rotation of the basis-set according to Eqs. (9) and (10) where $\pm\Delta\alpha_{i'}$ and $\pm\Delta\alpha_{j'}$ approach zero and the summation of the infinitesimal angular rotations of $\pm\Delta\alpha_{i'}$ and $\pm\Delta\alpha_{j'}$ about the successive i' -axes and j' -axes is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pi$ for each Step. The current pattern gives rise to the phenomenon corresponding to the spin quantum number. The details of the derivation of the spin function are given in Ref. [2] and Chp. 1 of Ref. [4].

The resultant angular momentum projections of $\mathbf{L}_{xy} = \frac{\hbar}{4}$ and $\mathbf{L}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ meet the boundary condition for the unique current having an angular velocity magnitude at each point on the surface given by Eq. (5) and give rise to the Stern Gerlach experiment as shown in Ref. [4]. The further constraint that the current density is uniform such that the charge density is uniform, corresponding to an equipotential, minimum energy surface is satisfied by using the orbitsphere-cvf as a basis element to generate $Y_0^0(\phi, \theta)$ using a convolution operator comprising an autocorrelation-type function as given in Appendix III of Ref. [4]. The operator comprises the convolution of each great circle current loop of the orbitsphere-cvf designated as the primary orbitsphere-cvf with a second orbitsphere-cvf designated as the secondary orbitsphere-cvf wherein the convolved secondary elements are matched for orientation, angular momentum, and phase to those of the primary. The resulting exact uniform current distribution obtained from the convolution has the same angular momentum distribution, resultant, \mathbf{L}_R , and

components of $\mathbf{L}_{xy} = \frac{\hbar}{4}$ and $\mathbf{L}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ as those of the orbit-sphere-cvf used as a primary basis element.

ANGULAR FUNCTIONS

The time, radial, and angular solutions of the wave equation are separable. Also based on the radial solution, the angular charge and current-density functions of the electron, $A(\theta, \phi, t)$, must be a solution of the wave equation in two dimensions (plus time),

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] A(\theta, \phi, t) = 0 \quad (11)$$

where $\rho(r, \theta, \phi, t) = f(r)A(\theta, \phi, t) = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r_n)A(\theta, \phi, t)$ and $A(\theta, \phi, t) = Y(\theta, \phi)k(t)$

$$\left[\frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)_{r, \phi} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right)_{r, \theta} - \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] A(\theta, \phi, t) = 0 \quad (12)$$

where v is the linear velocity of the electron. The charge-density functions including the time-function factor are

$$\mathfrak{l} = 0$$

$$\rho(r, \theta, \phi, t) = \frac{e}{8\pi r^2} [\delta(r - r_n)] [Y_0^0(\theta, \phi) + Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)] \quad (13)$$

$$\mathfrak{l} \neq 0$$

$$\rho(r, \theta, \phi, t) = \frac{e}{4\pi r^2} [\delta(r - r_n)] [Y_0^0(\theta, \phi) + \text{Re} \{ Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega_n t} \}] \quad (14)$$

where $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$ are the spherical harmonic functions that spin about the z-axis with angular frequency ω_n with $Y_0^0(\theta, \phi)$ the constant function. $\text{Re} \{ Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega_n t} \} = P_\ell^m(\cos \theta) \cos(m\phi + \omega_n' t)$ where to keep the form of the spherical harmonic as a traveling wave about the z-axis, $\omega_n' = m\omega_n$.

ACCELERATION WITHOUT RADIATION

Special Relativistic Correction to the Electron Radius

The relationship between the electron wavelength and its radius is given by Eq. (3) where λ is the de Broglie wavelength. For each current density element of the spin function,

the distance along each great circle in the direction of instantaneous motion undergoes length contraction and time dilation. Using a phase matching condition, the wavelengths of the electron and laboratory inertial frames are equated, and the corrected radius is given by

$$r_n = r'_n \left[\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2} \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right)^{3/2} \right] + \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right)^{3/2} \right] \right] \quad (15)$$

where the electron velocity is given by Eq. (5). (See Ref. [4] Chp. 1, Special Relativistic Correction to the Ionization Energies section). $\frac{e}{m_e}$ of the electron, the electron angular momentum of \hbar , and μ_B are invariant, but the mass and charge densities increase in the laboratory frame due to the relativistically contracted electron radius. As $v \rightarrow c$, $r/r' \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi}$ and $r = \lambda$ as shown in Figure 4.

Nonradiation Based on the Spacetime Fourier Transform of the Electron Current

Although an accelerated *point* particle radiates, an *extended distribution* modeled as a superposition of accelerating charges does not have to radiate [14, 16, 19-21]. The Fourier transform of the electron charge density function given by Eq. (7) is a solution of the three-dimensional wave equation in frequency space (\mathbf{k}, ω space) as given in Chp 1, Spacetime Fourier Transform of the Electron Function section, of Ref. [4]. Then the corresponding Fourier transform of the current density function $K(s, \Theta, \Phi, \omega)$ is given by multiplying by the constant angular frequency.

$$K(s, \Theta, \Phi, \omega) = 4\pi\omega_n \frac{\sin(2s_n r_n)}{2s_n r_n} \otimes 2\pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1} (\pi \sin \Theta)^{2(\nu-1)}}{(\nu-1)!(\nu-1)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{(\pi \cos \Theta)^{2\nu+1} 2^{\nu+1}} \frac{2\nu!}{(\nu-1)!} s^{-2\nu} \quad (16)$$

$$\otimes 2\pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1} (\pi \sin \Phi)^{2(\nu-1)}}{(\nu-1)!(\nu-1)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{(\pi \cos \Phi)^{2\nu+1} 2^{\nu+1}} \frac{2\nu!}{(\nu-1)!} s^{-2\nu} \frac{1}{4\pi} [\delta(\omega - \omega_n) + \delta(\omega + \omega_n)]$$

$\mathbf{s}_n \cdot \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{s}_n \cdot \mathbf{c} = \omega_n$ implies $r_n = \lambda_n$ which is given by Eq. (15) in the case that k is the lightlike k^0 four-vector of special relativity [14]. In this case, Eq. (16) vanishes. Consequently, spacetime harmonics of $\frac{\omega_n}{c} = k$ or $\frac{\omega_n}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_0}} = k$ for which the Fourier transform

of the current-density function is nonzero do not exist. Radiation due to charge motion does not occur in any medium when this boundary condition is met. Nonradiation is also determined from the fields based on Maxwell's equations as given in the Nonradiation Based on the Electromagnetic Fields and the Poynting Power Vector section *infra*.

Nonradiation Based on the Electron Electromagnetic Fields and the Poynting Power Vector

A point charge undergoing periodic motion accelerates and as a consequence radiates power P according to the Larmor formula:

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2e^2}{3c^3} a^2 \quad (17)$$

where e is the charge, a is its acceleration, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space, and c is the speed of light. In Ref. [2] and Appendix I, Chp. 1 of Ref. [4], the electromagnetic far field is determined from the current distribution in order to obtain the condition, if it exists, that the electron current distribution must satisfy such that the electron does not radiate. The current follows from Eqs. (13-14). The currents corresponding to Eq. (13) and first term of Eq. (14) are static. Thus, they are trivially nonradiative. The current due to the time dependent term of Eq. (14) corresponding to p, d, f, etc. orbitals is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} &= \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} \frac{e}{4\pi r_n^2} N [\delta(r - r_n)] \text{Re}\{Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)\} [\mathbf{u}(t) \times \mathbf{r}] \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} \frac{e}{4\pi r_n^2} N [\delta(r - r_n)] (P_\ell^m(\cos\theta) \cos(m\phi + \omega_n' t)) [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{r}] \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} \frac{e}{4\pi r_n^2} N [\delta(r - r_n)] (P_\ell^m(\cos\theta) \cos(m\phi + \omega_n' t)) \sin\theta \hat{\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where to keep the form of the spherical harmonic as a traveling wave about the z-axis, $\omega_n' = m\omega_n$ and N and N' are normalization constants. The vectors are defined as

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{|\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}|} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\sin\theta}; \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \text{orbital axis} \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (20)$$

"^" denotes the unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|\mathbf{u}|}$, non-unit vectors are designed in bold, and the current function is normalized. For the electron source current given by Eq. (18), each comprising a multipole of order (ℓ, m) with a time dependence $e^{i\omega_n t}$, the far-field solutions to Maxwell's equations are given by

$$\mathbf{B} = -\frac{i}{k} a_M(\ell, m) \nabla \times g_\ell(kr) \mathbf{X}_{\ell, m} \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = a_M(\ell, m) g_\ell(kr) \mathbf{X}_{\ell, m}$$

and the time-averaged power radiated per solid angle $\frac{dP(\ell, m)}{d\Omega}$ is

$$\frac{dP(\ell, m)}{d\Omega} = \frac{c}{8\pi k^2} |a_M(\ell, m)|^2 |\mathbf{X}_{\ell, m}|^2 \quad (22)$$

where $a_M(\ell, m)$ is

$$a_M(\ell, m) = \frac{-ek^2}{c\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}} \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} Nj_\ell(kr_n) \Theta \sin(mks) \quad (23)$$

In the case that k is the lightlike k^0 , then $k = \omega_n / c$, in Eq. (23), and Eqs. (21-22) vanishes for

$$s = vT_n = R = r_n = \lambda_n \quad (24)$$

There is no radiation.

MAGNETIC FIELD EQUATIONS OF THE ELECTRON

The orbitsphere is a shell of negative charge current comprising correlated charge motion along great circles. For $\mathfrak{L} = 0$, the orbitsphere gives rise to a magnetic moment of 1 Bohr magneton [22]. (The details of the derivation of the magnetic parameters including the electron g factor are given in Ref. [2] and Chp. 1 of Ref. [4].)

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} = 9.274 \times 10^{-24} \text{ JT}^{-1} \quad (25)$$

The magnetic field of the electron shown in Figure 5 is given by

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{e\hbar}{m_e r_n^3} (\mathbf{i}_r \cos \theta - \mathbf{i}_\theta \sin \theta) \quad \text{for } r < r_n \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e r^3} (\mathbf{i}_r 2 \cos \theta + \mathbf{i}_\theta \sin \theta) \quad \text{for } r > r_n \quad (27)$$

The energy stored in the magnetic field of the electron is

$$E_{mag} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_o \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\infty H^2 r^2 \sin \theta dr d\theta d\Phi \quad (28)$$

$$E_{mag \text{ total}} = \frac{\pi \mu_o e^2 \hbar^2}{m_e^2 r_n^3} \quad (29)$$

STERN-GERLACH EXPERIMENT

The Stern-Gerlach experiment implies a magnetic moment of one Bohr magneton and an associated angular momentum quantum number of 1/2. Historically, this quantum number is called the spin quantum number, s ($s = \frac{1}{2}$; $m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$). The superposition of the vector projection of the orbitsphere angular momentum on the z-axis is $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ with an orthogonal component of $\frac{\hbar}{4}$. Excitation of a resonant Larmor precession gives rise to \hbar on an axis \mathbf{S} that precesses about the z-axis called the spin axis at the Larmor frequency at an angle of $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ to give a perpendicular projection of

$$\mathbf{S}_\perp = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \hbar \quad (30)$$

and a projection onto the axis of the applied magnetic field of

$$\mathbf{S}_{\parallel} = \pm \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (31)$$

The superposition of the $\frac{\hbar}{2}$, z-axis component of the orbitsphere angular momentum and the $\frac{\hbar}{2}$, z-axis component of \mathbf{S} gives \hbar corresponding to the observed electron magnetic moment of a Bohr magneton, μ_B .

ELECTRON g FACTOR

Conservation of angular momentum of the orbitsphere permits a discrete change of its “kinetic angular momentum” ($\mathbf{r} \times m\mathbf{v}$) by the applied magnetic field of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$, and concomitantly the “potential angular momentum” ($\mathbf{r} \times e\mathbf{A}$) must change by $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$.

$$\Delta\mathbf{L} = \frac{\hbar}{2} - \mathbf{r} \times e\mathbf{A} \quad (32)$$

$$= \left[\frac{\hbar}{2} - \frac{e\phi}{2\pi} \right] \hat{z} \quad (33)$$

In order that the change of angular momentum, $\Delta\mathbf{L}$, equals zero, ϕ must be $\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{2e}$, the magnetic flux quantum. The magnetic moment of the electron is parallel or antiparallel to the applied field only. During the spin-flip transition, power must be conserved. Power flow is governed by the Poynting power theorem,

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu_o \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_o \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \right] - \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E} \quad (34)$$

Eq. (35) derived in Refs. [2] and [4] gives the total energy of the flip transition which is the sum of the energy of reorientation of the magnetic moment (1st term), the magnetic energy (2nd term), the electric energy (3rd term), and the dissipated energy of a fluxon treading the orbitsphere (4th term), respectively,

$$\Delta E_{mag}^{spin} = 2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{2}{3} \alpha^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) - \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 \right) \mu_B B \quad (35)$$

$$\Delta E_{mag}^{spin} = g \mu_B B \quad (36)$$

where α is the fine structure constant, the stored magnetic energy corresponding to the $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu_o \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \right]$ term increases, the stored electric energy corresponding to the $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_o \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \right]$ term increases, and the $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ term is dissipative. The spin-flip transition can be considered as involving a magnetic moment of g times that of a Bohr magneton. The g factor is redesignated the fluxon g factor as opposed to the anomalous g factor. Using

$\alpha^{-1} = 137.03603(82)$, the calculated value of $\frac{g}{2}$ is 1.001 159 652 137. The experimental value [23] of $\frac{g}{2}$ is 1.001 159 652 188(4).

SPIN AND ORBITAL PARAMETERS

The total function that describes the spinning motion of each electron orbitsphere is composed of two functions. One function, the spin function, is spatially uniform over the orbitsphere, spins with a quantized angular velocity, and gives rise to spin angular momentum. The other function, the modulation function, can be spatially uniform—in which case there is no orbital angular momentum and the magnetic moment of the electron orbitsphere is one Bohr magneton—or not spatially uniform—in which case there is orbital angular momentum. The modulation function also rotates with a quantized angular velocity.

The spin function of the electron corresponds to the nonradiative $n = 1$, $\ell = 0$ state of atomic hydrogen which is well known as an s state or orbital. (See Figure 1 for the charge function and Figure 2 for the current function.) In cases of orbitals of heavier elements and excited states of one electron atoms and atoms or ions of heavier elements with the ℓ quantum number not equal to zero and which are not constant as given by Eq. (13), the constant spin function is modulated by a time and spherical harmonic function as given by Eq. (14) and shown in Figure 3. The modulation or traveling charge density wave corresponds to an orbital angular momentum in addition to a spin angular momentum. These states are typically referred to as p, d, f, etc. orbitals. Application of Haus's [16] condition also predicts nonradiation for a constant spin function modulated by a time and spherically harmonic orbital function. There is acceleration without radiation as also shown in the Nonradiation Based on the Electron Electromagnetic Fields and the Poynting Power Vector section. (Also see Pearle, Abbott and Griffiths, Goedecke, and Daboul and Jensen [14, 19-21]). However, in the case that such a state arises as an excited state by photon absorption, it is radiative due to a radial dipole term in its current density function since it possesses spacetime Fourier Transform components synchronous with waves traveling at the speed of light [16]. (See Instability of Excited States section of Ref. [4].)

Moment of Inertia and Spin and Rotational Energies

The moments of inertia and the rotational energies as a function of the ℓ quantum number for the solutions of the time-dependent electron charge density functions (Eqs. (13-14)) given in the Angular Functions section are solved using the rigid rotor equation [18]. The details of the derivations of the results as well as the demonstration that Eqs. (13-14) with the

results given *infra.* are solutions of the wave equation are given in Chp 1, Rotational Parameters of the Electron (Angular Momentum, Rotational Energy, Moment of Inertia) section, of Ref. [4].

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$I_z = I_{spin} = \frac{m_e r_n^2}{2} \quad (37)$$

$$L_z = I\omega_z = \pm \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (38)$$

$$E_{rotational} = E_{rotational, spin} = \frac{1}{2} \left[I_{spin} \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_e r_n^2} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m_e r_n^2}{2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_e r_n^2} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2I_{spin}} \right] \quad (39)$$

$$\mathfrak{l} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

$$I_{orbital} = m_e r_n^2 \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\ell^2 + \ell + 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (40)$$

$$L_z = m\hbar \quad (41)$$

$$L_{z\ total} = L_{z\ spin} + L_{z\ orbital} \quad (42)$$

$$E_{rotational, orbital} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\ell^2 + 2\ell + 1} \right] \quad (43)$$

$$T = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e r_n^2} \quad (44)$$

$$\langle E_{rotational, orbital} \rangle = 0 \quad (45)$$

From Eq. (45), the time average rotational energy is zero; thus, the principal levels are degenerate except when a magnetic field is applied.

FORCE BALANCE EQUATION

The radius of the nonradiative ($n = 1$) state is solved using the electromagnetic force equations of Maxwell relating the charge and mass density functions wherein the angular momentum of the electron is given by \hbar , Planck's constant over 2π [4]. The reduced mass arises naturally from an electrodynamic interaction between the electron and the proton of mass m_p .

$$\frac{m_e}{4\pi r_1^2} \frac{v_1^2}{r_1} = \frac{e}{4\pi r_1^2} \frac{Ze}{4\pi \epsilon_o r_1^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi r_1^2} \frac{\hbar^2}{m_p r_n^3} \quad (46)$$

$$r_1 = \frac{a_H}{Z} \quad (47)$$

where a_H is the radius of the hydrogen atom.

ENERGY CALCULATIONS

From Maxwell's equations, the potential energy V , kinetic energy T , electric energy or binding energy E_{ele} are

$$V = \frac{-Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_1} = \frac{-Z^2 e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a_H} = -Z^2 \times 4.3675 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J} = -Z^2 \times 27.2 \text{ eV} \quad (48)$$

$$T = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 a_H} = Z^2 \times 13.59 \text{ eV} \quad (49)$$

$$T = E_{ele} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \int_{\infty}^{r_1} \mathbf{E}^2 dv \quad \text{where } \mathbf{E} = -\frac{Ze}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \quad (50)$$

$$E_{ele} = -\frac{Z^2 e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 a_H} = -Z^2 \times 2.1786 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J} = -Z^2 \times 13.598 \text{ eV} \quad (51)$$

The calculated Rydberg constant is $10,967,758 \text{ m}^{-1}$; the experimental Rydberg constant is $10,967,758 \text{ m}^{-1}$. For increasing Z , the velocity becomes a significant fraction of the speed of light; thus, special relativistic corrections were included in the calculation of the ionization energies of one-electron atoms that are given in Table I.

TWO ELECTRON ATOMS

Two electron atoms may be solved from a central force balance equation with the nonradiation condition [4]. The force balance equation between the centrifugal force that is equated to the Coulombic force and a second central force due to the interaction between the electrons. The force is a radiation-reaction force derived in the Two Electron Atoms section of Ref. [4] follows that given in Sections 6.6, 12.10, and 17.3 of Jackson [24]. It achieves the condition that the sum of the mechanical momentum and electromagnetic momentum is conserved. The motion of each point on electron one in the magnetic field of the electron two causes a relativistic central force which acts on each point. The magnetic central force is derived from the Lorentzian force which is relativistically corrected. Then, the force balance equation is

$$\frac{m_e}{4\pi r_2^2} \frac{v_2^2}{r_2} = \frac{e}{4\pi r_2^2} \frac{(Z-1)e}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_2^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi r_2^2} \frac{\hbar^2}{Z m_e r_2^3} \sqrt{s(s+1)} \quad (52)$$

which gives the radius of both electrons as

$$r_2 = r_1 = a_0 \left(\frac{1}{Z-1} - \frac{\sqrt{s(s+1)}}{Z(Z-1)} \right); \quad s = \frac{1}{2} \quad (53)$$

Ionization Energies Calculated using the Poynting Power Theorem

For helium, which has no electric field beyond r_1

$$\text{Ionization Energy}(\text{He}) = -E(\text{electric}) + E(\text{magnetic}) \quad (54)$$

where,

$$E(\text{electric}) = -\frac{(Z-1)e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 r_1} \quad (55)$$

$$E(\text{magnetic}) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\infty H^2 r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\Phi = \frac{2\pi\mu_0 e^2 \hbar^2}{m_e^2 r_1^3} \quad (56)$$

For $3 \leq Z$

$$\text{Ionization Energy} = -\text{Electric Energy} - \frac{1}{Z} \text{Magnetic Energy} \quad (57)$$

For increasing Z , the velocity becomes a significant fraction of the speed of light; thus, special relativistic corrections were included in the calculation of the ionization energies of two-electron atoms that are given in Table II.

APPROACH FOR THREE-THROUGH TWENTY-ELECTRON ATOMS

For each two-electron atom having a central charge of Z times that of the proton, there are two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitsphere with radii r_1 and r_2 both given by Eq. (53). For $Z \geq 3$, the next electron which binds to form the corresponding three-electron atom is attracted by the central Coulomb field and is repelled by diamagnetic forces due to the spin-paired inner electrons such that it forms an unpaired orbitsphere at radius r_3 . Since the charge-density function of each s electron including those of three-electron atoms is spherically symmetrical, the central Coulomb force, \mathbf{F}_{ele} , that acts on the outer electron to cause it to bind due to the nucleus and the inner electrons is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{ele} = \frac{(Z - (n-1))e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_n^2} \mathbf{i}_r \quad (58)$$

for $r > r_{n-1}$ where n corresponds to the number of electrons of the atom and Z is its atomic number. In each case, the magnetic field of the binding outer electron changes the angular velocities of the inner electrons. However, in each case, the magnetic field of the outer electron provides a central Lorentzian force which exactly balances the change in centrifugal force because of the change in angular velocity [4]. The inner electrons remain at their initial radii, but cause a diamagnetic force according to Lenz's law or a paramagnetic force depending on the spin and orbital angular momenta of the inner electrons and that of the outer. The force balance minimizes the energy of the atom.

It was shown previously [4] that the same principles including the central force given by Eq. (58) applies in the case that a nonuniform distribution of charge according to Eq. (14) achieves an energy minimum. In the case that an electron has orbital angular momentum in

addition to spin angular momentum, the corresponding charge density wave is time and spherically-harmonic wherein the traveling charge-density wave modulates the constant charge-density function as given in the Angular Functions section. It was found that electrostatic and magnetostatic s electrons pair in shells until a fifth electron is added. Then, a nonuniform distribution of charge achieves an energy minimum with the formation of a third shell due to the dependence of the magnetic forces on the nuclear charge and orbital energy (Eqs. (10.52), (10.55), and (10.93) of Ref. [4]). Minimum energy configurations are given by solutions to Laplace's equation. The general form of the solution is

$$\Phi(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} B_{\ell, m} r^{-(\ell+1)} Y_{\ell}^m(\theta, \phi) \quad (59)$$

As demonstrated previously, this general solution also gives the functions of the resonant photons of excited states [4]. To maintain the symmetry of the central charge and the energy minimum condition given by solutions to Laplace's equation (Eq. (59)), the charge-density waves on electron orbitspheres at r_1 and r_3 complement those of the outer orbitals when the outer p orbitals are not all occupied by at least one electron, and the complementary charge-density waves are provided by electrons at r_3 when this condition is met. Since the angular harmonic charge-density waves are nonradiative as shown in the Nonradiation Based on the Electron Electromagnetic Fields and the Poynting Power Vector section, the time-averaged central field is inverse r -squared even though the central field is modulated by the concentric charge-density waves. The modulated central field maintains the spherical harmonic orbitals that maintain the spherical-harmonic phase according to Eq. (59). Thus, the central Coulomb force, \mathbf{F}_{ele} , that acts on the outer electron to cause it to bind due to the nucleus and the inner electrons is given by Eq. (58).

The outer electrons of atoms and ions that are isoelectronic with the series boron through neon half-fill a 2p level with unpaired electrons at nitrogen, then fill the level with paired electrons at neon. In general, electrons of an atom with the same principal and ℓ quantum numbers align parallel until each of the m_{ℓ} levels are occupied, and then pairing occurs until each of the m_{ℓ} levels contain paired electrons. The electron configuration for one through twenty-electron atoms that achieves an energy minimum is: $1s < 2s < 2p < 3s < 3p < 4s$. In each case, the force balance of the central Coulombic, paramagnetic, and diamagnetic forces was derived for each n-electron atom that was solved for the radius of each electron. The ionization energies were obtained using the calculated radii in the determination of the Coulombic and any magnetic energies. The radii and ionization energies for all cases were given by equations having fundamental constants and each nuclear charge, Z , only. The predicted ionization energies and electron configurations compared with the experimental values [25-29] are given in Tables I-XXIII.

The predicted electron configurations are in agreement with the experimental configurations known for 400 atoms and ions. The agreement between the experimental and calculated values of the ionization energies given in Tables I-XX is well within the experimental capability of the spectroscopic determinations including the values at large Z which relies on X-ray spectroscopy. Ionization energies are difficult to determine since the cut-off of the Rydberg series of lines at the ionization energy is often not observed. Thus, each series isoelectronic with the neutral n -electron atom given in Tables I-XX [25, 28] relies on theoretical calculations and interpolation of the isoelectronic and Rydberg series as well as direct experimental data to extend the precision beyond the capability of X-ray spectroscopy. But, no assurances can be given that these techniques are correct, and they may not improve the results. In each case, the error given in the last column of Tables I-XX is very reasonable given the quality of the data.

GENERAL EQUATION FOR THE IONIZATION ENERGIES OF ATOMS HAVING AN OUTER S-SHELL

The derivation of the radii and energies of the 1s, 2s, 3s, and 4s electrons is given in the One-Electron Atom, the Two-Electron Atom, the Three-Electron Atoms, the Four-Electron Atoms, the Eleven-Electron Atoms, the Twelve-Electron Atoms, the Nineteen-Electron Atoms, and the Twenty-Electron Atoms sections of Ref. [4]. (*Reference to equations of the form Eq. (1.number), Eq. (7.number), and Eq. (10.number) will refer to the corresponding equations of Ref. [4].*) The radii are given by equating the centrifugal force with the sum of the Coulombic and classical magnetic forces which arise from the paired and unpaired electron-electron magnetic interactions. The general equation for the radii of s electrons is given by

$$r_n = \frac{a_0 \left(1 + (C - D) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2Z} \right)}{\left[(Z - (n - 1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_m} \right]} \pm a_0 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1 + (C - D) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2Z} \right)^2}{\left[(Z - (n - 1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_m} \right]} + \frac{20\sqrt{3} \left[\frac{Z - n}{Z - (n - 1)} \right] E r_m}{\left[(Z - (n - 1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_m} \right]}} \quad (60)$$

r_m in units of a_0

where Z is the nuclear charge, n is the number of electrons, r_m is the radius of the preceding filled shell(s) given by Eq. (60) for the preceding s shell(s), Eq. (64) for the 2p shell, and Eq.

(69) for the 3p shell, the parameter A given in Table XXI corresponds to the diamagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic}$, (Eq. (10.11)), the parameter B given in Table XXI corresponds to the paramagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{mag 2}$ (Eq. (10.55)), the parameter C given in Table XXI corresponds to the diamagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic 3}$, (Eq. (10.221)), the parameter D given in Table XXI corresponds to the paramagnetic force, \mathbf{F}_{mag} , (Eq. (7.15)), and the parameter E given in Table XXI corresponds to the diamagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic 2}$, due to a relativistic effect with an electric field for $r > r_n$ (Eqs. (10.35), (10.229), and (10.418)). The positive root of Eq. (60) must be taken in order that $r_n > 0$. The radii of several n-electron atoms having an outer s shell are given in Tables I-IV, XI-XII, XIX and XX.

The ionization energy for atoms having an outer s-shell are given by the negative of the electric energy, $E(electric)$, (Eq. (10.102) with the radii, r_n , given by Eq. (60) and Eq. (10.447)):

$$E(ionization) = -Electric Energy = \frac{(Z - (n - 1))e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 r_n} \quad (61)$$

except that minor corrections due to the magnetic energy must be included in cases wherein the s electron does not couple to p electrons as given in Eqs. (7.28), (7.47), (10.25), (10.48), (10.66), and (10.68). Since the relativistic corrections were small except for one, two, and three-electron atoms, the nonrelativistic ionization energies for experimentally measured n-electron, s-filling atoms are given in most cases by Eqs. (60) and (61). The ionization energies of several n-electron atoms having an outer s shell are given in Tables I-IV, XI-XII, XIX and XX.

GENERAL EQUATION FOR THE IONIZATION ENERGIES OF FIVE THROUGH TEN-ELECTRON ATOMS

The derivation of the radii and energies of the 2p electrons is given in the Five through Eight-Electron Atoms sections of Ref. [4]. Using the forces given by Eqs. (58) (Eq. (10.70)), (10.82-10.84), (10.89), (10.93), and the radii r_3 given by Eq. (10.62) (from Eq. (60)), the radii of the 2p electrons of all five through ten-electron atoms may be solved exactly. The electric energy given by Eq. (61) (Eq. (10.102)) gives the corresponding exact ionization energies. A summary of the parameters of the equations that determine the exact radii and ionization energies of all five through ten-electron atoms is given in Table XXII.

\mathbf{F}_{ele} and $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic 2}$ given by Eqs. (58) (Eq. (10.70)) and (10.93), respectively, are of the same form for all atoms with the appropriate nuclear charges and atomic radii. $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic}$ given by Eq. (10.82) and $\mathbf{F}_{mag 2}$ given by Eqs. (10.83-10.84) and (10.89) are of the same form with the appropriate factors that depend on the electron configuration wherein the electron configuration given in Table XXII must be a minimum of energy.

For each n-electron atom having a central charge of Z times that of the proton and an electron configuration $1s^2 2s^2 2p^{n-4}$, there are two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitsphere with radii r_1 and r_2 both given by Eqs. (7.19) and (10.51) (from Eq. (60)):

$$r_1 = r_2 = a_0 \left[\frac{1}{Z-1} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{Z(Z-1)} \right] \quad (62)$$

two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitsphere with radii r_3 and r_4 both given by Eq. (10.62) (from Eq. (60)):

$$r_4 = r_3 = \frac{a_0 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{Z} \right) \pm a_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\left((Z-3) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{r_1} \right)^2} + 4 \frac{\left[\frac{Z-3}{Z-2} \right] r_1^{10} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{\left((Z-3) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{r_1} \right)^2}}}{2} \quad (63)$$

r_1 in units of a_0

where r_1 is given by Eq. (62), and $n-4$ electrons in an orbitsphere with radius r_n given by

$$r_n = \frac{a_0 \left((Z-(n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right) \pm a_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\left((Z-(n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right)^2} + \frac{20\sqrt{3} \left[\frac{Z-n}{Z-(n-1)} \right] \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) r_3}{\left((Z-(n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right)^2}}}{2} \quad (64)$$

r_3 in units of a_0

where r_3 is given by Eq. (63), the parameter A given in Table XXII corresponds to the diamagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic}$, (Eq. (10.82)), and the parameter B given in Table XXII corresponds to the paramagnetic force, \mathbf{F}_{mag2} (Eqs. (10.83-10.84) and (10.89)). The positive root of Eq. (64) must be taken in order that $r_n > 0$. The radii of several n-electron atoms are given in Tables V-X.

The ionization energy for the boron atom is given by Eq. (10.104). The ionization energies for the n-electron atoms are given by the negative of the electric energy, $E(electric)$, (Eq. (61) with the radii, r_n , given by Eq. (64)). Since the relativistic corrections were small, the nonrelativistic ionization energies for experimentally measured n-electron atoms are given by Eqs. (61) and (64) in Tables V-X.

GENERAL EQUATION FOR THE IONIZATION ENERGIES OF THIRTEEN THROUGH EIGHTEEN-ELECTRON ATOMS

The derivation of the radii and energies of the 3p electrons is given in the Thirteen through Eighteen-Electron Atoms sections of Ref. [4]. Using the forces given by Eqs. (58) (Eq.(10.257)), (10.258-10.264), (10.268), and the radii r_{12} given by Eq. (10.255) (from Eq. (60)), the radii of the 3p electrons of all thirteen through eighteen-electron atoms may be solved exactly. The electric energy given by Eq. (61) (Eq. (10.102)) gives the corresponding exact ionization energies. A summary of the parameters of the equations that determine the exact radii and ionization energies of all thirteen through eighteen-electron atoms is given in Tables XIII-XVIII.

F_{ele} and $F_{diamagnetic 2}$ given by Eqs. (58) (Eq. (10.257)) and (10.268), respectively, are of the same form for all atoms with the appropriate nuclear charges and atomic radii. $F_{diamagnetic}$ given by Eq. (10.258) and $F_{mag 2}$ given by Eqs. (10.259-10.264) are of the same form with the appropriate factors that depend on the electron configuration given in Table XXIII wherein the electron configuration must be a minimum of energy.

For each n-electron atom having a central charge of Z times that of the proton and an electron configuration $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^{n-12}$, there are two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitosphere with radii r_1 and r_2 both given by Eq. (7.19) and (10.51) (from Eq. (60)):

$$r_1 = r_2 = a_0 \left[\frac{1}{Z-1} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}}{Z(Z-1)} \right] \quad (65)$$

two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitosphere with radii r_3 and r_4 both given by Eq. (10.62) (from Eq. (60)):

$$r_4 = r_3 = \frac{a_0 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{Z} \right) \pm a_0 \left[\frac{(Z-3)}{(Z-2)} r_1 10 \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \right]}{2 \left[\left((Z-3) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_1} \right)^2 + 4 \left((Z-3) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_1} \right) \right]} \quad (66)$$

r_1 in units of a_0

where r_1 is given by Eq. (65), three sets of paired indistinguishable electrons in an orbitalsphere with radius r_{10} given by Eq. (64) (Eq. (10.212)):

$$r_{10} = \frac{a_0 \left((Z-9) - \left(\frac{5}{24} - \frac{6}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right) \pm a_0 \left[\frac{20\sqrt{3} \left[\frac{Z-10}{Z-9} \right] \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) r_3}{\left((Z-9) - \left(\frac{5}{24} - \frac{6}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right)} \right]}{2 \left[\frac{1}{\left((Z-9) - \left(\frac{5}{24} - \frac{6}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right)} \right]^2 + \left((Z-9) - \left(\frac{5}{24} - \frac{6}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_3} \right)} \quad (67)$$

r_3 in units of a_0

where r_3 is given by Eq. (66) (Eqs. (10.62) and (10.402)), two indistinguishable spin-paired electrons in an orbitalsphere with radius r_{12} given by Eq. (10.255) (from Eq. (60)):

$$r_{12} = \frac{a_0 \left((Z-11) - \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{10}} \right) \pm a_0 \left[\frac{20\sqrt{3} \left[\frac{Z-12}{Z-11} \right] \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) r_{10}}{\left((Z-11) - \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{10}} \right)} \right]}{2 \left[\frac{1}{\left((Z-11) - \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{10}} \right)} \right]^2 + \left((Z-11) - \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{10}} \right)} \quad (68)$$

r_{10} in units of a_0

where r_{10} is given by Eq. (67) (Eq. (10.212)), and $n-12$ electrons in a 3p orbitalsphere with

radius r_n given by

$$r_n = \frac{a_0 \left[\left((Z - (n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{12}} \right) \pm a_0 \sqrt{ \frac{1}{\left((Z - (n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{12}} \right)^2} + \frac{20\sqrt{3} \left[\frac{Z-n}{Z-(n-1)} \right] \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_{12}}{\left((Z - (n-1)) - \left(\frac{A}{8} - \frac{B}{2Z} \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r_{12}} \right)} } \right]}{2} \quad (69)$$

r_{12} in units of a_0

where r_{12} is given by Eq. (68) (Eqs. (10.255) and (10.404)), the parameter A given in Table XXIII corresponds to the diamagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{diamagnetic}$, (Eq. (10.258)), and the parameter B given in Table XXIII corresponds to the paramagnetic force, $\mathbf{F}_{mag 2}$ (Eqs. (10.260-10.264)). The positive root of Eq. (69) must be taken in order that $r_n > 0$. The radii of several n-electron 3p atoms are given in Tables XIII-XVIII.

The ionization energy for the aluminum atom is given by Eq. (10.279). The ionization energies for the n-electron 3p atoms are given by the negative of the electric energy, $E(electric)$, (Eq. (61) with the radii, r_n , given by Eq. (69)). Since the relativistic corrections were small, the nonrelativistic ionization energies for experimentally measured n-electron 3p atoms are given by Eqs. (61) and (69) in Tables XIII-XVIII.

CONCLUSION

It is true that the Schrödinger equation can be solved exactly for the hydrogen atom; although, it is not true that the result is the exact solution of the hydrogen atom. Spin is missed entirely and there are many internal inconsistencies and nonphysical consequences that do not agree with experimental results [2-9]. The Dirac equation does not reconcile this situation [2-9]. Many additional shortcomings arise. Quantum mechanics is not a correct or complete theory of the physical world and inescapable internal inconsistencies and incongruities arise when attempts are made to treat it as a physical as opposed to a purely mathematical "tool". But, QM has severe limitations even as a tool. Beyond one-electron atoms, multielectron-atom quantum mechanical equations cannot be solved except by approximation methods involving adjustable-parameter theories (perturbation theory, variational methods, self-consistent field method, multi-configuration Hartree Fock method, multi-configuration parametric potential method, $1/Z$ expansion method, multi-configuration Dirac-Fock method, electron correlation

terms, QED terms, etc.)—all of which contain assumptions that cannot be physically tested and are not consistent with physical laws.

A theory of classical quantum mechanics (CQM) was derived from first principles that successfully applies physical laws on all scales [2-6], and the mathematical connection with the Schrödinger equation to relate it to physical laws was discussed previously [30]. The physical approach based on Maxwell's equations was applied to multielectron atoms that were solved exactly. The classical predictions of the ionization energies were solved for the physical electrons comprising concentric orbitspheres ("bubble-like" charge-density functions) that are electrostatic and magnetostatic corresponding to a constant charge distribution and a constant current corresponding to spin angular momentum. Alternatively, the charge is a superposition of a constant and a dynamical component. In the latter case, charge density waves on the surface are time and spherically harmonic and correspond additionally to electron orbital angular momentum that superimposes the spin angular momentum. Thus, the electrons of multielectron atoms all exist as orbitspheres of discrete radii which are given by r_n of the radial Dirac delta function, $\delta(r-r_n)$. These electron orbitspheres may be spin paired or unpaired depending on the force balance which applies to each electron. Ultimately, the electron configuration must be a minimum of energy. Minimum energy configurations are given by solutions to Laplace's equation. As demonstrated previously, this general solution also gives the functions of the resonant photons of excited states [4]. It was found that electrons of an atom with the same principal and l quantum numbers align parallel until each of the m_l levels are occupied, and then pairing occurs until each of the m_l levels contain paired electrons. The electron configuration for one through twenty-electron atoms that achieves an energy minimum is: $1s < 2s < 2p < 3s < 3p < 4s$. In each case, the corresponding force balance of the central Coulombic, paramagnetic, and diamagnetic forces was derived for each n -electron atom that was solved for the radius of each electron. The central Coulombic force was that of a point charge at the origin since the electron charge-density functions are spherically symmetrical with a time dependence that was nonradiative. This feature eliminated the electron-electron repulsion terms and the intractable infinities of quantum mechanics and permitted general solutions. The ionization energies were obtained using the calculated radii in the determination of the Coulombic and any magnetic energies. The radii and ionization energies for all cases were given by equations having fundamental constants and each nuclear charge, Z , only. The predicted ionization energies and electron configurations given in Tables I-XXIII are in remarkable agreement with the experimental values known for 400 atoms and ions.

Thus, it is found that the purely postulated Hund's Rule and the Pauli Exclusion

Principle of the assignment of unique quantum numbers to all electrons are not "weird spooky action" phenomena unique to quantum mechanics that require all electrons in the universe to have instantaneous communication and coordination with no basis in physical laws such as Maxwell's equations. Rather, they are phenomenological consequences of those laws. Furthermore, the exact CQM solutions disprove the deep-seated view that such exact solutions cannot exist according to quantum mechanics. The viability of the probability-wave quantum mechanical theory is questionable given its failure as a theory based in reality and its limited utility outside of untestable and nonphysical approximation methods involving adjustable parameters and renormalization. This issue merits debate by the scientific community especially in light of the superiority of the classical approach advocated by even the founders of quantum mechanics.

The success of quantum mechanics can be attributed to the use of variational parameters in all-space probability wave functions and renormalization of intrinsic infinities in the corresponding energies. Throughout the history of quantum theory wherever there was an advance to a new application, it was necessary to repeat a trial-and-error experimentation to find which method of calculation gave the right answers. Often the textbooks present only the successful procedure as if it followed from first principles and do not mention the actual method by which it was found. In electromagnetic theory based on Maxwell's equations as used in the current derivations of the ionization energies of multielectron atoms, one deduces the computational algorithm from the general principles. In quantum theory, the logic is just the opposite. One chooses the principle (e.g. phenomenological Hamiltonians) to fit the empirically successful algorithm. It is known that it required a great deal of art and tact over decades of effort to get correct predictions out of quantum electrodynamics (QED). For the right experimental numbers to emerge, one must do the calculation (i.e. subtract off the infinities) in one particular way and not in some other way that appears in principle equally valid. In the words of Dirac [31], "No progress was made for 20 years. Then a development came initiated by Lamb's discovery and explanation of the Lamb Shift, which fundamentally changed the character of theoretical physics. It involved setting up rules for discarding ...infinities..." Renormalization is presently believed to be required of any fundamental theory of physics [32]. However, dissatisfaction with renormalization has been expressed at various times by many physicists including Dirac [33], who felt that, "This is just not sensible mathematics. Sensible mathematics involves neglecting a quantity when it turns out to be small - not neglecting it just because it is infinitely great and you do not want it!"

Albeit, the Dirac equation did not predict the Lamb shift or the electron g factor [34-36], its feature of negative-mass states of the vacuum gave rise to the postulates of QED that has become a center piece of quantum mechanics to explain these and other similar

observations. One of QED's seminal aspects of renormalization which was subsequently grafted into atomic theory was a turning point in physics similar to the decision to treat the electron as a point-particle-probability wave, a point with no volume with a vague probability wave requiring that the electron have an infinite number of positions and energies including negative and infinite energies simultaneously. The adoption of the probabilistic versus deterministic nature of atomic particles violates all physical laws including special relativity with violation of causality as pointed out by Einstein [13] and de Broglie [37]. Consequently, it was rejected even by Schrödinger [38]. Since the quantum mechanical approaches of calculating ionization energies relies on renormalization and adjustable parameters that cannot be based on physics, they have no particular value outside of the computation exercise itself. In contrast, it is anticipated that the presented exact physical solutions for the atom and all ions having a given number of electrons can be used to predict the properties of elements and engineer compositions of matter in a manner which is not possible using quantum mechanics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to my former professor, the distinguished, late Professor H. Haus of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for reviewing the one-electron-atom solution, especially the use of the nonradiation condition after his work that was the catalyst for these results.

REFERENCES

1. F. Laloë, Do we really understand quantum mechanics? Strange correlations, paradoxes, and theorems, *Am. J. Phys.* 69 (6), June 2001, 655-701.
2. R. L. Mills, "Classical Quantum Mechanics", in press; posted at http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TheoryPapers/Classical_Quantum_Mechanics_102804.pdf.
3. R. L. Mills, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond Revisited and an Alternative Maxwellian Approach", submitted; posted at http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TheoryPapers/Nature_Chemical_Bond_Revisited_102804.pdf.
4. R. Mills, *The Grand Unified Theory of Classical Quantum Mechanics*, January 2005 Edition, BlackLight Power, Inc., Cranbury, New Jersey; posted at <http://www.blacklightpower.com/bookdownload.shtml>.
5. R. L. Mills, "Exact Classical Quantum Mechanical Solution for Atomic Helium Which Predicts Conjugate Parameters from a Unique Solution for the First Time", submitted; posted at http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TheoryPapers/Exact_Solutions_Atomic_Helium_102804.pdf

6. R. L. Mills, "Maxwell's Equations and QED: Which is Fact and Which is Fiction", submitted; posted at http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TheoryPapers/Maxwells_Equations_and_QED_102804.pdf.
7. R. L. Mills, The Fallacy of Feynman's Argument on the Stability of the Hydrogen Atom According to Quantum Mechanics, submitted; posted at http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TheoryPapers/Fallacy_Feynmans_Argument_030705.pdf.
8. R. Mills, "The Nature of Free Electrons in Superfluid Helium--a Test of Quantum Mechanics and a Basis to Review its Foundations and Make a Comparison to Classical Theory", *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 26, No. 10, (2001), pp. 1059-1096.
9. R. Mills, "The Hydrogen Atom Revisited", *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 25, Issue 12, December, (2000), pp. 1171-1183.
10. H. Margenau, G. M. Murphy, *The Mathematics of Physics and Chemistry*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, (1956), Second Edition, pp. 363-367.
11. V. F. Weisskopf, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, Vol. 21, No. 2, (1949), pp. 305-315.
12. H. Wergeland, "The Klein Paradox Revisited", *Old and New Questions in Physics, Cosmology, Philosophy, and Theoretical Biology*, A. van der Merwe, Editor, Plenum Press, New York, (1983), pp. 503-515.
13. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.*, Vol. 47, (1935), p. 777.
14. P. Pearle, *Foundations of Physics*, "Absence of radiationless motions of relativistically rigid classical electron", Vol. 7, Nos. 11/12, (1977), pp. 931-945.
15. F. Dyson, "Feynman's proof of Maxwell equations", *Am. J. Phys.*, Vol. 58, (1990), pp. 209-211.
16. H. A. Haus, On the radiation from point charges, *American Journal of Physics*, Vol. 54, 1126-1129 (1986).
17. <http://www.blacklightpower.com/new.shtml>.
18. D. A. McQuarrie, *Quantum Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, (1983), pp. 206-225.
19. J. Daboul and J. H. D. Jensen, *Z. Physik*, Vol. 265, (1973), pp. 455-478.
20. T. A. Abbott and D. J. Griffiths, *Am. J. Phys.*, Vol. 53, No. 12, (1985), pp. 1203-1211.
21. G. Goedecke, *Phys. Rev* 135B, (1964), p. 281.
22. D. A. McQuarrie, *Quantum Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, (1983), pp. 238-241.
23. R. S. Van Dyck, Jr., P. Schwinberg, H. Dehmelt, "New high precision comparison of electron and positron g factors", *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Vol. 59, (1987), p. 26-29.

24. J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1975), pp. 236-240, 601-608, 786-790
25. C. E. Moore, "Ionization Potentials and Ionization Limits Derived from the Analyses of Optical Spectra, Nat. Stand. Ref. Data Ser.-Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), No. 34, 1970.
26. R. C. Weast, *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 58 Edition, CRC Press, West Palm Beach, Florida, (1977), p. E-68.
27. http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/ASD/levels_form.html.
28. A. Gumberidze, Th. Stöhlker, D. Banas, K. Beckert, P. Beller, H. F. Beyer, F. Bosch, S. Haggmann, C. Kozhuharov, D. Liesen, F. Nolden, X. Ma, P.H. Mokler, M. Steck, D. Sierpowski, and S. Tashenov, "Quantum electrodynamics in strong electric fields: The ground-state Lamb shift in hydrogenlike uranium," *Phys. Rev. Letts.*, Vol. 94, 223001 (2005).
29. NIST Atomic Spectra Database, www.physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/AtData/display.ksh.
30. R. Mills, *The Grand Unified Theory of Classical Quantum Mechanics*, June 2006 Edition, Mathematical Relationship Between the Theories of Bohr and Schrödinger with Respect to Classical Quantum Mechanics section; posted at <http://www.blacklightpower.com/theory/TOE%2002.10.03/Chapters/INTRODUCTION062206s.pdf>.
31. P. A. M. Dirac, *From a Life of Physics*, ed. A. Salam, et al., World Scientific, Singapore, (1989).
32. Milonni, P. W., *The Quantum Vacuum*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, p. 90.
33. P. A. M. Dirac, *Directions in Physics*, ed. H. Hora and J. R. Shepanski, Wiley, New York, (1978), p. 36.
34. H. Dehmelt, "Experiments on the structure of an individual elementary particle, *Science*, (1990), Vol. 247, pp. 539-545.
35. W. E. Lamb, R. C. Retherford, "Fine structure of the hydrogen atom by a microwave method", *Phys. Rev.*, Vol. 72, No. 3, (1947), pp. 241-243.
36. H. A. Bethe., "The Electromagnetic Shift of Energy Levels", *Physical Review*, Vol. 72, No. 4, August, 15, (1947), pp. 339-341.
37. L. de Broglie, "On the true ideas underlying wave mechanics", *Old and New Questions in Physics, Cosmology, Philosophy, and Theoretical Biology*, A. van der Merwe, Editor, Plenum Press, New York, (1983), pp. 83-86.
38. D. C. Cassidy, *Uncertainty the Life and Science of Werner Heisenberg*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, (1992), pp. 224-225.

Table 1. Relativistic ionization energies for some one-electron atoms.

One e Atom	Z	β (Eq. (1.267) of Ref. [7])	Theoretical Ionization Energies (eV) (Eq. (1.272) of Ref. [7])	Experimental Ionization Energies (eV) ^a	Relative Difference between Experimental and Calculated ^b
<i>H</i>	1	0.00730	13.59847	13.59844	-0.000002
<i>He</i> ⁺	2	0.01459	54.41826	54.41778	-0.000009
<i>Li</i> ²⁺	3	0.02189	122.45637	122.45429	-0.000017
<i>Be</i> ³⁺	4	0.02919	217.72427	217.71865	-0.000026
<i>B</i> ⁴⁺	5	0.03649	340.23871	340.2258	-0.000038
<i>C</i> ⁵⁺	6	0.04378	490.01759	489.99334	-0.000049
<i>N</i> ⁶⁺	7	0.05108	667.08834	667.046	-0.000063
<i>O</i> ⁷⁺	8	0.05838	871.47768	871.4101	-0.000078
<i>F</i> ⁸⁺	9	0.06568	1103.220	1103.1176	-0.000093
<i>Ne</i> ⁹⁺	10	0.07297	1362.348	1362.1995	-0.000109
<i>Na</i> ¹⁰⁺	11	0.08027	1648.910	1648.702	-0.000126
<i>Mg</i> ¹¹⁺	12	0.08757	1962.945	1962.665	-0.000143
<i>Al</i> ¹²⁺	13	0.09486	2304.512	2304.141	-0.000161
<i>Si</i> ¹³⁺	14	0.10216	2673.658	2673.182	-0.000178
<i>P</i> ¹⁴⁺	15	0.10946	3070.451	3069.842	-0.000198
<i>S</i> ¹⁵⁺	16	0.11676	3494.949	3494.1892	-0.000217
<i>Cl</i> ¹⁶⁺	17	0.12405	3947.228	3946.296	-0.000236
<i>Ar</i> ¹⁷⁺	18	0.13135	4427.363	4426.2296	-0.000256
<i>K</i> ¹⁸⁺	19	0.13865	4935.419	4934.046	-0.000278
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁹⁺	20	0.14595	5471.494	5469.864	-0.000298
<i>Sc</i> ²⁰⁺	21	0.15324	6035.681	6033.712	-0.000326
<i>Ti</i> ²¹⁺	22	0.16054	6628.064	6625.82	-0.000339
<i>V</i> ²²⁺	23	0.16784	7248.745	7246.12	-0.000362
<i>Cr</i> ²³⁺	24	0.17514	7897.827	7894.81	-0.000382
<i>Mn</i> ²⁴⁺	25	0.18243	8575.426	8571.94	-0.000407
<i>Fe</i> ²⁵⁺	26	0.18973	9281.650	9277.69	-0.000427
<i>Co</i> ²⁶⁺	27	0.19703	10016.63	10012.12	-0.000450
<i>Ni</i> ²⁷⁺	28	0.20432	10780.48	10775.4	-0.000471
<i>Cu</i> ²⁸⁺	29	0.21162	11573.34	11567.617	-0.000495
<i>Zn</i> ²⁹⁺	30	0.21892	12395.35	12388.93	-0.000518
<i>Ga</i> ³⁰⁺	31	0.22622	13246.66	13239.49	-0.000542
<i>Ge</i> ³¹⁺	32	0.23351	14127.41	14119.43	-0.000565

<i>As</i> ³²⁺	33	0.24081	15037.75	15028.62	-0.000608
<i>Se</i> ³³⁺	34	0.24811	15977.86	15967.68	-0.000638
<i>Kr</i> ³⁵⁺	36	0.26270	17948.05	17936.21	-0.000660
<i>Rb</i> ³⁶⁺	37	0.27000	18978.49	18964.99	-0.000712
<i>Mo</i> ⁴¹⁺	42	0.30649	24592.04	24572.22	-0.000807
<i>Xe</i> ⁵³⁺	54	0.39406	41346.76	41299.7	-0.001140
<i>U</i> ⁹¹⁺	92	0.67136	132279.32	131848.5	-0.003268

^a From theoretical calculations, interpolation of H isoelectronic and Rydberg series, and experimental data [24-27].

^b (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table II. Relativistically corrected ionization energies for some two-electron atoms.

2 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	Electric Energy ^b (eV)	Magnetic Energy ^c (eV)	Velocity (m/s) ^d	γ^* ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>He</i>	2	0.566987	23.996467	0.590536	3.85845E+06	1.000021	24.58750	24.58741	-0.000004
<i>Li</i> ⁺	3	0.355566	76.509	2.543	6.15103E+06	1.00005	75.665	75.64018	-0.0003
<i>Be</i> ²⁺	4	0.26116	156.289	6.423	8.37668E+06	1.00010	154.699	153.89661	-0.0052
<i>B</i> ³⁺	5	0.20670	263.295	12.956	1.05840E+07	1.00016	260.746	259.37521	-0.0053
<i>C</i> ⁴⁺	6	0.17113	397.519	22.828	1.27836E+07	1.00024	393.809	392.087	-0.0044
<i>N</i> ⁵⁺	7	0.14605	558.958	36.728	1.49794E+07	1.00033	553.896	552.0718	-0.0033
<i>O</i> ⁶⁺	8	0.12739	747.610	55.340	1.71729E+07	1.00044	741.023	739.29	-0.0023
<i>F</i> ⁷⁺	9	0.11297	963.475	79.352	1.93649E+07	1.00057	955.211	953.9112	-0.0014
<i>Ne</i> ⁸⁺	10	0.10149	1206.551	109.451	2.15560E+07	1.00073	1196.483	1195.8286	-0.0005
<i>Na</i> ⁹⁺	11	0.09213	1476.840	146.322	2.37465E+07	1.00090	1464.871	1465.121	0.0002
<i>Mg</i> ¹⁰⁺	12	0.08435	1774.341	190.652	2.59364E+07	1.00110	1760.411	1761.805	0.0008
<i>Al</i> ¹¹⁺	13	0.07778	2099.05	243.13	2.81260E+07	1.00133	2083.15	2085.98	0.0014
<i>Si</i> ¹²⁺	14	0.07216	2450.98	304.44	3.03153E+07	1.00159	2433.13	2437.63	0.0018
<i>P</i> ¹³⁺	15	0.06730	2830.11	375.26	3.25043E+07	1.00188	2810.42	2816.91	0.0023
<i>S</i> ¹⁴⁺	16	0.06306	3236.46	456.30	3.46932E+07	1.00221	3215.09	3223.78	0.0027
<i>Cl</i> ¹⁵⁺	17	0.05932	3670.02	548.22	3.68819E+07	1.00258	3647.22	3658.521	0.0031
<i>Ar</i> ¹⁶⁺	18	0.05599	4130.79	651.72	3.90705E+07	1.00298	4106.91	4120.8857	0.0034
<i>K</i> ¹⁷⁺	19	0.05302	4618.77	767.49	4.12590E+07	1.00344	4594.25	4610.8	0.0036
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁸⁺	20	0.05035	5133.96	896.20	4.34475E+07	1.00394	5109.38	5128.8	0.0038
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁹⁺	21	0.04794	5676.37	1038.56	4.56358E+07	1.00450	5652.43	5674.8	0.0039
<i>Ti</i> ²⁰⁺	22	0.04574	6245.98	1195.24	4.78241E+07	1.00511	6223.55	6249	0.0041
<i>V</i> ²¹⁺	23	0.04374	6842.81	1366.92	5.00123E+07	1.00578	6822.93	6851.3	0.0041
<i>Cr</i> ²²⁺	24	0.04191	7466.85	1554.31	5.22005E+07	1.00652	7450.76	7481.7	0.0041
<i>Mn</i> ²³⁺	25	0.04022	8118.10	1758.08	5.43887E+07	1.00733	8107.25	8140.6	0.0041
<i>Fe</i> ²⁴⁺	26	0.03867	8796.56	1978.92	5.65768E+07	1.00821	8792.66	8828	0.0040
<i>Co</i> ²⁵⁺	27	0.03723	9502.23	2217.51	5.87649E+07	1.00917	9507.25	9544.1	0.0039
<i>Ni</i> ²⁶⁺	28	0.03589	10235.12	2474.55	6.09529E+07	1.01022	10251.33	10288.8	0.0036
<i>Cu</i> ²⁷⁺	29	0.03465	10995.21	2750.72	6.31409E+07	1.01136	11025.21	11062.38	0.0034

^a From Eq. (7.19) (Eq. (53)).

^b From Eq. (7.29) (Eq. (61)).

^c From Eq. (7.30).

^d From Eq. (7.31).

^e From Eq. (1.250) with the velocity given by Eq. (7.31).

^f From Eqs. (7.28) and (7.47) with $E(\text{electric})$ of Eq. (7.29) relativistically corrected by γ^* according to Eq.(1.251) except that the electron-nuclear electrodynamic relativistic factor corresponding to the reduced mass of Eqs. (1.213-1.223) was not included.

^g From theoretical calculations for ions Ne^{8+} to Cu^{28+} [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table III. Ionization energies for some three-electron atoms.

3 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	Electric Energy ^c (eV)	Δv ^d (m/s)	ΔE_T ^e (eV)	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>Li</i>	3	0.35566	2.55606	5.3230	1.6571E+04	1.5613E-03	5.40381	5.39172	-0.00224
<i>Be</i> ⁺	4	0.26116	1.49849	18.1594	4.4346E+04	1.1181E-02	18.1706	18.21116	0.00223
<i>B</i> ²⁺	5	0.20670	1.07873	37.8383	7.4460E+04	3.1523E-02	37.8701	37.93064	0.00160
<i>C</i> ³⁺	6	0.17113	0.84603	64.3278	1.0580E+05	6.3646E-02	64.3921	64.4939	0.00158
<i>N</i> ⁴⁺	7	0.14605	0.69697	97.6067	1.3782E+05	1.0800E-01	97.7160	97.8902	0.00178
<i>O</i> ⁵⁺	8	0.12739	0.59299	137.6655	1.7026E+05	1.6483E-01	137.8330	138.1197	0.00208
<i>F</i> ⁶⁺	9	0.11297	0.51621	184.5001	2.0298E+05	2.3425E-01	184.7390	185.186	0.00241
<i>Ne</i> ⁷⁺	10	0.10149	0.45713	238.1085	2.3589E+05	3.1636E-01	238.4325	239.0989	0.00279
<i>Na</i> ⁸⁺	11	0.09213	0.41024	298.4906	2.6894E+05	4.1123E-01	298.9137	299.864	0.00317
<i>Mg</i> ⁹⁺	12	0.08435	0.37210	365.6469	3.0210E+05	5.1890E-01	366.1836	367.5	0.00358
<i>Al</i> ¹⁰⁺	13	0.07778	0.34047	439.5790	3.3535E+05	6.3942E-01	440.2439	442	0.00397
<i>Si</i> ¹¹⁺	14	0.07216	0.31381	520.2888	3.6868E+05	7.7284E-01	521.0973	523.42	0.00444
<i>P</i> ¹²⁺	15	0.06730	0.29102	607.7792	4.0208E+05	9.1919E-01	608.7469	611.74	0.00489
<i>S</i> ¹³⁺	16	0.06306	0.27132	702.0535	4.3554E+05	1.0785E+00	703.1966	707.01	0.00539
<i>Cl</i> ¹⁴⁺	17	0.05932	0.25412	803.1158	4.6905E+05	1.2509E+00	804.4511	809.4	0.00611
<i>Ar</i> ¹⁵⁺	18	0.05599	0.23897	910.9708	5.0262E+05	1.4364E+00	912.5157	918.03	0.00601
<i>K</i> ¹⁶⁺	19	0.05302	0.22552	1025.6241	5.3625E+05	1.6350E+00	1027.3967	1033.4	0.00581
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁷⁺	20	0.05035	0.21350	1147.0819	5.6993E+05	1.8468E+00	1149.1010	1157.8	0.00751
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁸⁺	21	0.04794	0.20270	1275.3516	6.0367E+05	2.0720E+00	1277.6367	1287.97	0.00802
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁹⁺	22	0.04574	0.19293	1410.4414	6.3748E+05	2.3106E+00	1413.0129	1425.4	0.00869
<i>V</i> ²⁰⁺	23	0.04374	0.18406	1552.3606	6.7135E+05	2.5626E+00	1555.2398	1569.6	0.00915
<i>Cr</i> ²¹⁺	24	0.04191	0.17596	1701.1197	7.0530E+05	2.8283E+00	1704.3288	1721.4	0.00992
<i>Mn</i> ²²⁺	25	0.04022	0.16854	1856.7301	7.3932E+05	3.1077E+00	1860.2926	1879.9	0.01043
<i>Fe</i> ²³⁺	26	0.03867	0.16172	2019.2050	7.7342E+05	3.4011E+00	2023.1451	2023	-0.00007
<i>Co</i> ²⁴⁺	27	0.03723	0.15542	2188.5585	8.0762E+05	3.7084E+00	2192.9020	2219	0.01176
<i>Ni</i> ²⁵⁺	28	0.03589	0.14959	2364.8065	8.4191E+05	4.0300E+00	2369.5803	2399.2	0.01235
<i>Cu</i> ²⁶⁺	29	0.03465	0.14418	2547.9664	8.7630E+05	4.3661E+00	2553.1987	2587.5	0.01326

^a Radius of the paired inner electrons of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.49) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the unpaired outer electron of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.50) (Eq. (60)).

^c Electric energy of the outer electron of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.43) (Eq. (61)).

^d Change in the velocity of the paired inner electrons due to the unpaired outer electron of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.46).

^e Change in the kinetic energy of the paired inner electrons due to the unpaired outer electron of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.47).

^f Calculated ionization energies of three-electron atoms from Eq. (10.48) for $Z > 3$ and Eq. (10.25) for *Li*.

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table IV. Ionization energies for some four-electron atoms.

4 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	Electric Energy ^c (eV)	Magnetic Energy ^d (eV)	Δv ^e (m/s X 10^{-5})	ΔE_T ^f (eV)	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^h (eV)	Relativ Error ⁱ
<i>Be</i>	4	0.26116	1.52503	8.9178	0.03226	0.4207	0.0101	9.28430	9.32263	0.0041
<i>B</i> ⁺	5	0.20670	1.07930	25.2016	0.0910	0.7434	0.0314	25.1627	25.15484	-0.0003
<i>C</i> ²⁺	6	0.17113	0.84317	48.3886	0.1909	1.0688	0.0650	48.3125	47.8878	-0.0089
<i>N</i> ³⁺	7	0.14605	0.69385	78.4029	0.3425	1.3969	0.1109	78.2765	77.4735	-0.0104
<i>O</i> ⁴⁺	8	0.12739	0.59020	115.2148	0.5565	1.7269	0.1696	115.0249	113.899	-0.0099
<i>F</i> ⁵⁺	9	0.11297	0.51382	158.8102	0.8434	2.0582	0.2409	158.5434	157.1651	-0.0088
<i>Ne</i> ⁶⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	209.1813	1.2138	2.3904	0.3249	208.8243	207.2759	-0.0075
<i>Na</i> ⁷⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	266.3233	1.6781	2.7233	0.4217	265.8628	264.25	-0.0061
<i>Mg</i> ⁸⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	330.2335	2.2469	3.0567	0.5312	329.6559	328.06	-0.0049
<i>Al</i> ⁹⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	400.9097	2.9309	3.3905	0.6536	400.2017	398.75	-0.0036
<i>Si</i> ¹⁰⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	478.3507	3.7404	3.7246	0.7888	477.4989	476.36	-0.0024
<i>P</i> ¹¹⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	562.5555	4.6861	4.0589	0.9367	561.5464	560.8	-0.0013
<i>S</i> ¹²⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	653.5233	5.7784	4.3935	1.0975	652.3436	652.2	-0.0002
<i>Cl</i> ¹³⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	751.2537	7.0280	4.7281	1.2710	749.8899	749.76	-0.0002
<i>Ar</i> ¹⁴⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	855.7463	8.4454	5.0630	1.4574	854.1849	854.77	0.0007
<i>K</i> ¹⁵⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	967.0007	10.0410	5.3979	1.6566	965.2283	968	0.0029
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁶⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	1085.0167	11.8255	5.7329	1.8687	1083.0198	1087	0.0037
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁷⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	1209.7940	13.8094	6.0680	2.0935	1207.5592	1213	0.0045
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁸⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	1341.3326	16.0032	6.4032	2.3312	1338.8465	1346	0.0053
<i>V</i> ¹⁹⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	1479.6323	18.4174	6.7384	2.5817	1476.8813	1486	0.0061
<i>Cr</i> ²⁰⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	1624.6929	21.0627	7.0737	2.8450	1621.6637	1634	0.0075
<i>Mn</i> ²¹⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	1776.5144	23.9495	7.4091	3.1211	1773.1935	1788	0.0083
<i>Fe</i> ²²⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	1935.0968	27.0883	7.7444	3.4101	1931.4707	1950	0.0095
<i>Co</i> ²³⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	2100.4398	30.4898	8.0798	3.7118	2096.4952	2119	0.0106
<i>Ni</i> ²⁴⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	2272.5436	34.1644	8.4153	4.0264	2268.2669	2295	0.0116
<i>Cu</i> ²⁵⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	2451.4080	38.1228	8.7508	4.3539	2446.7858	2478	0.0126

^a Radius of the paired inner electrons of four-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired outer electrons of four-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Electric energy of the outer electrons of four-electron atoms from Eq. (10.63) (Eq. (61)).

^d Magnetic energy of the outer electrons of four-electron atoms upon unpairing from Eq. (7.30) and Eq. (10.64).

^e Change in the velocity of the paired inner electrons due to the unpaired outer electron of four-electron atoms during ionization from Eq. (10.46).

^f Change in the kinetic energy of the paired inner electrons due to the unpaired outer electron of four-electron atoms during ionization from Eq. (10.47).

^g Calculated ionization energies of four-electron atoms from Eq. (10.68) for $Z > 4$ and Eq. (10.66) for *Be*.

^h From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

ⁱ (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table V. Ionization energies for some five-electron atoms.

5 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_5 (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>B</i>	5	0.20670	1.07930	1.67000	8.30266	8.29803	-0.00056
<i>C</i> ⁺	6	0.17113	0.84317	1.12092	24.2762	24.38332	0.0044
<i>N</i> ²⁺	7	0.14605	0.69385	0.87858	46.4585	47.44924	0.0209
<i>O</i> ³⁺	8	0.12739	0.59020	0.71784	75.8154	77.41353	0.0206
<i>F</i> ⁴⁺	9	0.11297	0.51382	0.60636	112.1922	114.2428	0.0179
<i>Ne</i> ⁵⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.52486	155.5373	157.93	0.0152
<i>Na</i> ⁶⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.46272	205.8266	208.5	0.0128
<i>Mg</i> ⁷⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.41379	263.0469	265.96	0.0110
<i>Al</i> ⁸⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.37425	327.1901	330.13	0.0089
<i>Si</i> ⁹⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.34164	398.2509	401.37	0.0078
<i>P</i> ¹⁰⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.31427	476.2258	479.46	0.0067
<i>S</i> ¹¹⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.29097	561.1123	564.44	0.0059
<i>Cl</i> ¹²⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.27090	652.9086	656.71	0.0058
<i>Ar</i> ¹³⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.25343	751.6132	755.74	0.0055
<i>K</i> ¹⁴⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.23808	857.2251	861.1	0.0045
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁵⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.22448	969.7435	974	0.0044
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁶⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.21236	1089.1678	1094	0.0044
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁷⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.20148	1215.4975	1221	0.0045
<i>V</i> ¹⁸⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.19167	1348.7321	1355	0.0046
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁹⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.18277	1488.8713	1496	0.0048
<i>Mn</i> ²⁰⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.17466	1635.9148	1644	0.0049
<i>Fe</i> ²¹⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.16724	1789.8624	1799	0.0051
<i>Co</i> ²²⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.16042	1950.7139	1962	0.0058
<i>Ni</i> ²³⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.15414	2118.4690	2131	0.0059
<i>Cu</i> ²⁴⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.14833	2293.1278	2308	0.0064

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of five-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of five-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the outer electron of five-electron atoms from Eq. (10.113) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 5$ and Eq. (10.101) for B .

^d Calculated ionization energies of five-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.114)) (Eq. (61)) for $Z > 5$ and Eq. (10.104) for B .

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table VI. Ionization energies for some six-electron atoms.

6 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_6 (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>C</i>	6	0.17113	0.84317	1.20654	11.27671	11.2603	-0.0015
<i>N</i> ⁺	7	0.14605	0.69385	0.90119	30.1950	29.6013	-0.0201
<i>O</i> ²⁺	8	0.12739	0.59020	0.74776	54.5863	54.9355	0.0064
<i>F</i> ³⁺	9	0.11297	0.51382	0.63032	86.3423	87.1398	0.0092
<i>Ne</i> ⁴⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.54337	125.1986	126.21	0.0080
<i>Na</i> ⁵⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.47720	171.0695	172.18	0.0064
<i>Mg</i> ⁶⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.42534	223.9147	225.02	0.0049
<i>Al</i> ⁷⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.38365	283.7121	284.66	0.0033
<i>Si</i> ⁸⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.34942	350.4480	351.12	0.0019
<i>P</i> ⁹⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.32081	424.1135	424.4	0.0007
<i>S</i> ¹⁰⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.29654	504.7024	504.8	0.0002
<i>Cl</i> ¹¹⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.27570	592.2103	591.99	-0.0004
<i>Ar</i> ¹²⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.25760	686.6340	686.1	-0.0008
<i>K</i> ¹³⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.24174	787.9710	786.6	-0.0017
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁴⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.22772	896.2196	894.5	-0.0019
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁵⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.21524	1011.3782	1009	-0.0024
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁶⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.20407	1133.4456	1131	-0.0022
<i>V</i> ¹⁷⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.19400	1262.4210	1260	-0.0019
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁸⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.18487	1398.3036	1396	-0.0017
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁹⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.17657	1541.0927	1539	-0.0014
<i>Fe</i> ²⁰⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.16899	1690.7878	1689	-0.0011
<i>Co</i> ²¹⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.16203	1847.3885	1846	-0.0008
<i>Ni</i> ²²⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.15562	2010.8944	2011	0.0001
<i>Cu</i> ²³⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.14970	2181.3053	2182	0.0003

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of six-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of six-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the two unpaired outer electrons of six-electron atoms from Eq. (10.132) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 6$ and Eq. (10.122) for C .

^d Calculated ionization energies of six-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.133)) (Eq. (61)).

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f $(\text{Experimental-theoretical})/\text{experimental}$.

Table VII. Ionization energies for some seven-electron atoms.

7 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_7 (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>N</i>	7	0.14605	0.69385	0.93084	14.61664	14.53414	-0.0057
<i>O</i> ⁺	8	0.12739	0.59020	0.78489	34.6694	35.1173	0.0128
<i>F</i> ²⁺	9	0.11297	0.51382	0.67084	60.8448	62.7084	0.0297
<i>Ne</i> ³⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.57574	94.5279	97.12	0.0267
<i>Na</i> ⁴⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.50250	135.3798	138.4	0.0218
<i>Mg</i> ⁵⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.44539	183.2888	186.76	0.0186
<i>Al</i> ⁶⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.39983	238.2017	241.76	0.0147
<i>Si</i> ⁷⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.36271	300.0883	303.54	0.0114
<i>P</i> ⁸⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.33191	368.9298	372.13	0.0086
<i>S</i> ⁹⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.30595	444.7137	447.5	0.0062
<i>Cl</i> ¹⁰⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.28376	527.4312	529.28	0.0035
<i>Ar</i> ¹¹⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.26459	617.0761	618.26	0.0019
<i>K</i> ¹²⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.24785	713.6436	714.6	0.0013
<i>Ca</i> ¹³⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.23311	817.1303	817.6	0.0006
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁴⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.22003	927.5333	927.5	0.0000
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁵⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.20835	1044.8504	1044	-0.0008
<i>V</i> ¹⁶⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.19785	1169.0800	1168	-0.0009
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁷⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.18836	1300.2206	1299	-0.0009
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁸⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.17974	1438.2710	1437	-0.0009
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁹⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.17187	1583.2303	1582	-0.0008
<i>Co</i> ²⁰⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.16467	1735.0978	1735	-0.0001
<i>Ni</i> ²¹⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.15805	1893.8726	1894	0.0001
<i>Cu</i> ²²⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15194	2059.5543	2060	0.0002

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of seven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of seven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three unpaired paired outer electrons of seven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.152) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 7$ and Eq. (10.142) for N .

^d Calculated ionization energies of seven-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.153)) (Eq. (61)).

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table VIII. Ionization energies for some eight-electron atoms.

8 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_8 (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>O</i>	8	0.12739	0.59020	1.00000	13.60580	13.6181	0.0009
<i>F</i> ⁺	9	0.11297	0.51382	0.7649	35.5773	34.9708	-0.0173
<i>Ne</i> ²⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.6514	62.6611	63.45	0.0124
<i>Na</i> ³⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.5592	97.3147	98.91	0.0161
<i>Mg</i> ⁴⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.4887	139.1911	141.27	0.0147
<i>Al</i> ⁵⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.4338	188.1652	190.49	0.0122
<i>Si</i> ⁶⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.3901	244.1735	246.5	0.0094
<i>P</i> ⁷⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.3543	307.1791	309.6	0.0078
<i>S</i> ⁸⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.3247	377.1579	379.55	0.0063
<i>Cl</i> ⁹⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.2996	454.0940	455.63	0.0034
<i>Ar</i> ¹⁰⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.2782	537.9756	538.96	0.0018
<i>K</i> ¹¹⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.2597	628.7944	629.4	0.0010
<i>Ca</i> ¹²⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.2434	726.5442	726.6	0.0001
<i>Sc</i> ¹³⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.2292	831.2199	830.8	-0.0005
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁴⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.2165	942.8179	941.9	-0.0010
<i>V</i> ¹⁵⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.2051	1061.3351	1060	-0.0013
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁶⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.1949	1186.7691	1185	-0.0015
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁷⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.1857	1319.1179	1317	-0.0016
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁸⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.1773	1458.3799	1456	-0.0016
<i>Co</i> ¹⁹⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.1696	1604.5538	1603	-0.0010
<i>Ni</i> ²⁰⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.1626	1757.6383	1756	-0.0009
<i>Cu</i> ²¹⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.1561	1917.6326	1916	-0.0009

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of eight-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of eight-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the two paired and two unpaired outer electrons of eight-electron atoms from Eq. (10.172) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 8$ and Eq. (10.162) for O .

^d Calculated ionization energies of eight-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.173)) (Eq. (61)).

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f $(\text{Experimental-theoretical})/\text{experimental}$.

Table IX. Ionization energies for some nine-electron atoms.

9 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_9 (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>F</i>	9	0.11297	0.51382	0.78069	17.42782	17.42282	-0.0003
<i>Ne</i> ⁺	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.64771	42.0121	40.96328	-0.0256
<i>Na</i> ²⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.57282	71.2573	71.62	0.0051
<i>Mg</i> ³⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.50274	108.2522	109.2655	0.0093
<i>Al</i> ⁴⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.44595	152.5469	153.825	0.0083
<i>Si</i> ⁵⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.40020	203.9865	205.27	0.0063
<i>P</i> ⁶⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.36283	262.4940	263.57	0.0041
<i>S</i> ⁷⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33182	328.0238	328.75	0.0022
<i>Cl</i> ⁸⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.30571	400.5466	400.06	-0.0012
<i>Ar</i> ⁹⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28343	480.0424	478.69	-0.0028
<i>K</i> ¹⁰⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26419	566.4968	564.7	-0.0032
<i>Ca</i> ¹¹⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.24742	659.8992	657.2	-0.0041
<i>Sc</i> ¹²⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23266	760.2415	756.7	-0.0047
<i>Ti</i> ¹³⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.21957	867.5176	863.1	-0.0051
<i>V</i> ¹⁴⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.20789	981.7224	976	-0.0059
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁵⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19739	1102.8523	1097	-0.0053
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁶⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.18791	1230.9038	1224	-0.0056
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁷⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.17930	1365.8746	1358	-0.0058
<i>Co</i> ¹⁸⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17145	1507.7624	1504.6	-0.0021
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁹⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16427	1656.5654	1648	-0.0052
<i>Cu</i> ²⁰⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15766	1812.2821	1804	-0.0046

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of nine-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of nine-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the one unpaired and two sets of paired outer electrons of nine-electron atoms from Eq. (10.192) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 9$ and Eq. (10.182) for F .

^d Calculated ionization energies of nine-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.193)) (Eq. (61)).

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table X. Ionization energies for some ten-electron atoms.

10 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^d (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Relative Error ^f
<i>Ne</i>	10	0.10149	0.45511	0.63659	21.37296	21.56454	0.00888
<i>Na</i> ⁺	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.560945	48.5103	47.2864	-0.0259
<i>Mg</i> ²⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.510568	79.9451	80.1437	0.0025
<i>Al</i> ³⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.456203	119.2960	119.992	0.0058
<i>Si</i> ⁴⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.409776	166.0150	166.767	0.0045
<i>P</i> ⁵⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.371201	219.9211	220.421	0.0023
<i>S</i> ⁶⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.339025	280.9252	280.948	0.0001
<i>Cl</i> ⁷⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.311903	348.9750	348.28	-0.0020
<i>Ar</i> ⁸⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.288778	424.0365	422.45	-0.0038
<i>K</i> ⁹⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.268844	506.0861	503.8	-0.0045
<i>Ca</i> ¹⁰⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.251491	595.1070	591.9	-0.0054
<i>Sc</i> ¹¹⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.236251	691.0866	687.36	-0.0054
<i>Ti</i> ¹²⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.222761	794.0151	787.84	-0.0078
<i>V</i> ¹³⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.210736	903.8853	896	-0.0088
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁴⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	1020.6910	1010.6	-0.0100
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁵⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	1144.4276	1134.7	-0.0086
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁶⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.181398	1275.0911	1266	-0.0072
<i>Co</i> ¹⁷⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.173362	1412.6783	1397.2	-0.0111
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁸⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.166011	1557.1867	1541	-0.0105
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁹⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.159261	1708.6139	1697	-0.0068
<i>Zn</i> ²⁰⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.153041	1866.9581	1856	-0.0059

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of ten-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of ten-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of three sets of paired outer electrons of ten-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)) for $Z > 10$ and Eq. (10.202) for *Ne*.

^d Calculated ionization energies of ten-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.213)) (Eq. (61)).

^e From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^f (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XI. Ionization energies for some eleven-electron atoms.

11 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{11} (a_o) ^d	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Experimental Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Relative Error ^g
<i>Na</i>	11	0.09213	0.40853	0.560945	2.65432	5.12592	5.13908	0.0026
<i>Mg</i> ⁺	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.510568	1.74604	15.5848	15.03528	-0.0365
<i>Al</i> ²⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.456203	1.47399	27.6918	28.44765	0.0266
<i>Si</i> ³⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.409776	1.25508	43.3624	45.14181	0.0394
<i>P</i> ⁴⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.371201	1.08969	62.4299	65.0251	0.0399
<i>S</i> ⁵⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.339025	0.96226	84.8362	88.0530	0.0365
<i>Cl</i> ⁶⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.311903	0.86151	110.5514	114.1958	0.0319
<i>Ar</i> ⁷⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.288778	0.77994	139.5577	143.460	0.0272
<i>K</i> ⁸⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.268844	0.71258	171.8433	175.8174	0.0226
<i>Ca</i> ⁹⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.251491	0.65602	207.3998	211.275	0.0183
<i>Sc</i> ¹⁰⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.236251	0.60784	246.2213	249.798	0.0143
<i>Ti</i> ¹¹⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.222761	0.56631	288.3032	291.500	0.0110
<i>V</i> ¹²⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.210736	0.53014	333.6420	336.277	0.0078
<i>Cr</i> ¹³⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49834	382.2350	384.168	0.0050
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁴⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.47016	434.0801	435.163	0.0025
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁵⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.181398	0.44502	489.1753	489.256	0.0002
<i>Co</i> ¹⁶⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.173362	0.42245	547.5194	546.58	-0.0017
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁷⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.166011	0.40207	609.1111	607.06	-0.0034
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁸⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.159261	0.38358	673.9495	670.588	-0.0050
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁹⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.153041	0.36672	742.0336	738	-0.0055

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of eleven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of eleven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of three sets of paired inner electrons of eleven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of unpaired outer electron of eleven-electron atoms from Eq. (10.235) (Eq. (60)) for $Z > 11$ and Eq. (10.226) for *Na*.

^e Calculated ionization energies of eleven-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.236)) (Eq. (61)).

^f From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^g (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XII. Ionization energies for some twelve-electron atoms.

12 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^e (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Relative Error ^g
<i>Mg</i>	12	0.08435	0.37065	0.51057	1.79386	7.58467	7.64624	0.0081
<i>Al</i> ⁺	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.45620	1.41133	19.2808	18.82856	-0.0240
<i>Si</i> ²⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.40978	1.25155	32.6134	33.49302	0.0263
<i>P</i> ³⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.37120	1.09443	49.7274	51.4439	0.0334
<i>S</i> ⁴⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33902	0.96729	70.3296	72.5945	0.0312
<i>Cl</i> ⁵⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	94.3266	97.03	0.0279
<i>Ar</i> ⁶⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	121.6724	124.323	0.0213
<i>K</i> ⁷⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	152.3396	154.88	0.0164
<i>Ca</i> ⁸⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	186.3102	188.54	0.0118
<i>Sc</i> ⁹⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	223.5713	225.18	0.0071
<i>Ti</i> ¹⁰⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	264.1138	265.07	0.0036
<i>V</i> ¹¹⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	307.9304	308.1	0.0006
<i>Cr</i> ¹²⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	355.0157	354.8	-0.0006
<i>Mn</i> ¹³⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	405.3653	403.0	-0.0059
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁴⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	458.9758	457	-0.0043
<i>Co</i> ¹⁵⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	515.8442	511.96	-0.0076
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁶⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	575.9683	571.08	-0.0086
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁷⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	639.3460	633	-0.0100
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁸⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	705.9758	698	-0.0114

^a Radius of the first set of paired inner electrons of twelve-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the second set of paired inner electrons of twelve-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of three sets of paired inner electrons of twelve-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of paired outer electrons of twelve-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)) for $Z > 12$ and Eq. (10.246) for *Mg*.

^e Calculated ionization energies of twelve-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.256)) (Eq. (61)).

^f From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^g (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XIII. Ionization energies for some thirteen-electron atoms.

13 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{13} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>Al</i>	13	0.07778	0.33923	0.45620	1.41133	2.28565	5.98402	5.98577	0.0003
<i>Si</i> ⁺	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.40978	1.25155	1.5995	17.0127	16.34585	-0.0408
<i>P</i> ²⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.37120	1.09443	1.3922	29.3195	30.2027	0.0292
<i>S</i> ³⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33902	0.96729	1.1991	45.3861	47.222	0.0389
<i>Cl</i> ⁴⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	1.0473	64.9574	67.8	0.0419
<i>Ar</i> ⁵⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	0.9282	87.9522	91.009	0.0336
<i>K</i> ⁶⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.8330	114.3301	117.56	0.0275
<i>Ca</i> ⁷⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.7555	144.0664	147.24	0.0216
<i>Sc</i> ⁸⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.6913	177.1443	180.03	0.0160
<i>Ti</i> ⁹⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.6371	213.5521	215.92	0.0110
<i>V</i> ¹⁰⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.5909	253.2806	255.7	0.0095
<i>Cr</i> ¹¹⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.5510	296.3231	298.0	0.0056
<i>Mn</i> ¹²⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.5162	342.6741	343.6	0.0027
<i>Fe</i> ¹³⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.4855	392.3293	392.2	-0.0003
<i>Co</i> ¹⁴⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.4583	445.2849	444	-0.0029
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁵⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.4341	501.5382	499	-0.0051
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁶⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.4122	561.0867	557	-0.0073
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁷⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.3925	623.9282	619	-0.0080

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of thirteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of thirteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of thirteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of thirteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the unpaired 3p outer electron of thirteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.288) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 13$ and Eq. (10.276) for *Al*.

^f Calculated ionization energies of thirteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.289)) (Eq. (61)) for $Z > 13$ and Eq. (10.279) for *Al*.

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h $(\text{Experimental-theoretical})/\text{experimental}$.

Table XIV. Ionization energies for some fourteen-electron atoms.

14 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{14} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>Si</i>	14	0.07216	0.31274	0.40978	1.25155	1.67685	8.11391	8.15169	0.0046
<i>P</i> ⁺	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.37120	1.09443	1.35682	20.0555	19.7694	-0.0145
<i>S</i> ²⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33902	0.96729	1.21534	33.5852	34.790	0.0346
<i>Cl</i> ³⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	1.06623	51.0426	53.4652	0.0453
<i>Ar</i> ⁴⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	0.94341	72.1094	75.020	0.0388
<i>K</i> ⁵⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.84432	96.6876	99.4	0.0273
<i>Ca</i> ⁶⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.76358	124.7293	127.2	0.0194
<i>Sc</i> ⁷⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.69682	156.2056	158.1	0.0120
<i>Ti</i> ⁸⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.64078	191.0973	192.10	0.0052
<i>V</i> ⁹⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.59313	229.3905	230.5	0.0048
<i>Cr</i> ¹⁰⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.55211	271.0748	270.8	-0.0010
<i>Mn</i> ¹¹⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.51644	316.1422	314.4	-0.0055
<i>Fe</i> ¹²⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.48514	364.5863	361	-0.0099
<i>Co</i> ¹³⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.45745	416.4021	411	-0.0131
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁴⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.43277	471.5854	464	-0.0163
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁵⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.41064	530.1326	520	-0.0195
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁶⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.39068	592.0410	579	-0.0225

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of fourteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of fourteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of fourteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of fourteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the two unpaired 3p outer electrons of fourteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.309) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 14$ and Eq. (10.297) for *Si*.

^f Calculated ionization energies of fourteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.310)) (Eq. (61)).

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XV. Ionization energies for some fifteen-electron atoms.

15 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{15} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>P</i>	15	0.06730	0.29010	0.37120	1.09443	1.28900	10.55536	10.48669	-0.0065
<i>S</i> ⁺	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33902	0.96729	1.15744	23.5102	23.3379	-0.0074
<i>Cl</i> ²⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	1.06759	38.2331	39.61	0.0348
<i>Ar</i> ³⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	0.95423	57.0335	59.81	0.0464
<i>K</i> ⁴⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.85555	79.5147	82.66	0.0381
<i>Ca</i> ⁵⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.77337	105.5576	108.78	0.0296
<i>Sc</i> ⁶⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.70494	135.1046	138.0	0.0210
<i>Ti</i> ⁷⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.64743	168.1215	170.4	0.0134
<i>V</i> ⁸⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.59854	204.5855	205.8	0.0059
<i>Cr</i> ⁹⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.55652	244.4799	244.4	-0.0003
<i>Mn</i> ¹⁰⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.52004	287.7926	286.0	-0.0063
<i>Fe</i> ¹¹⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.48808	334.5138	330.8	-0.0112
<i>Co</i> ¹²⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.45985	384.6359	379	-0.0149
<i>Ni</i> ¹³⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.43474	438.1529	430	-0.0190
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁴⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.41225	495.0596	484	-0.0229
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁵⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.39199	555.3519	542	-0.0246

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of fifteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of fifteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of fifteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of fifteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the three unpaired 3p outer electrons of fifteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.331) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 15$ and Eq. (10.319) for P .

^f Calculated ionization energies of fifteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.332)) (Eq. (61)).

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XVI. Ionization energies for some sixteen-electron atoms.

16 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{16} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>S</i>	16	0.06306	0.27053	0.33902	0.96729	1.32010	10.30666	10.36001	0.0051
<i>Cl</i> ⁺	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	1.10676	24.5868	23.814	-0.0324
<i>Ar</i> ²⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	1.02543	39.8051	40.74	0.0229
<i>K</i> ³⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.92041	59.1294	60.91	0.0292
<i>Ca</i> ⁴⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.82819	82.1422	84.50	0.0279
<i>Sc</i> ⁵⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.75090	108.7161	110.68	0.0177
<i>Ti</i> ⁶⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.68622	138.7896	140.8	0.0143
<i>V</i> ⁷⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.63163	172.3256	173.4	0.0062
<i>Cr</i> ⁸⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.58506	209.2996	209.3	0.0000
<i>Mn</i> ⁹⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.54490	249.6938	248.3	-0.0056
<i>Fe</i> ¹⁰⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.50994	293.4952	290.2	-0.0114
<i>Co</i> ¹¹⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.47923	340.6933	336	-0.0140
<i>Ni</i> ¹²⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.45204	391.2802	384	-0.0190
<i>Cu</i> ¹³⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.42781	445.2492	435	-0.0236
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁴⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.40607	502.5950	490	-0.0257

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of sixteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of sixteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of sixteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212)) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of sixteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255)) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the two paired and two unpaired 3p outer electrons of sixteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.353) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 16$ and Eq. (10.341) for S .

^f Calculated ionization energies of sixteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.354)) (Eq. (61)).

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XVII. Ionization energies for some seventeen-electron atoms.

17 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{17} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>Cl</i>	17	0.05932	0.25344	0.31190	0.86545	1.05158	12.93841	12.96764	0.0023
<i>Ar</i> ⁺	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	0.98541	27.6146	27.62967	0.0005
<i>K</i> ²⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.93190	43.8001	45.806	0.0438
<i>Ca</i> ³⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.84781	64.1927	67.27	0.0457
<i>Sc</i> ⁴⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.77036	88.3080	91.65	0.0365
<i>Ti</i> ⁵⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.70374	116.0008	119.53	0.0295
<i>V</i> ⁶⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.64701	147.2011	150.6	0.0226
<i>Cr</i> ⁷⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.59849	181.8674	184.7	0.0153
<i>Mn</i> ⁸⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.55667	219.9718	221.8	0.0082
<i>Fe</i> ⁹⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.52031	261.4942	262.1	0.0023
<i>Co</i> ¹⁰⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.48843	306.4195	305	-0.0047
<i>Ni</i> ¹¹⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.46026	354.7360	352	-0.0078
<i>Cu</i> ¹²⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.43519	406.4345	401	-0.0136
<i>Zn</i> ¹³⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.41274	461.5074	454	-0.0165

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of seventeen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of seventeen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of seventeen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of seventeen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the two sets of paired and an unpaired 3p outer electron of seventeen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.376) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 17$ and Eq. (10.363) for *Cl*.

^f Calculated ionization energies of seventeen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.377)) (Eq. (61)).

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XVIII. Ionization energies for some eighteen-electron atoms.

18 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{18} (a_o) ^e	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^f (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Relative Error ^h
<i>Ar</i>	18	0.05599	0.23839	0.28878	0.78276	0.86680	15.69651	15.75962	0.0040
<i>K</i> ⁺	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.85215	31.9330	31.63	-0.0096
<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.82478	49.4886	50.9131	0.0280
<i>Sc</i> ³⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.76196	71.4251	73.4894	0.0281
<i>Ti</i> ⁴⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.70013	97.1660	99.30	0.0215
<i>V</i> ⁵⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.64511	126.5449	128.13	0.0124
<i>Cr</i> ⁶⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.59718	159.4836	160.18	0.0043
<i>Mn</i> ⁷⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.55552	195.9359	194.5	-0.0074
<i>Fe</i> ⁸⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.51915	235.8711	233.6	-0.0097
<i>Co</i> ⁹⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.48720	279.2670	275.4	-0.0140
<i>Ni</i> ¹⁰⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.45894	326.1070	321.0	-0.0159
<i>Cu</i> ¹¹⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.43379	376.3783	369	-0.0200
<i>Zn</i> ¹²⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.41127	430.0704	419.7	-0.0247

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of eighteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of eighteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of eighteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of eighteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the three sets of paired 3p outer electrons of eighteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.399) (Eq. (67)) for $Z > 18$ and Eq. (10.386) for *Ar*.

^f Calculated ionization energies of eighteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.400)) (Eq. (61)).

^g From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

^h (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XIX. Ionization energies for some nineteen-electron atoms.

19 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{18} (a_o) ^e	r_{19} (a_o) ^f	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^h (eV)	Relative Error ⁱ
<i>K</i>	19	0.05302	0.22503	0.26884	0.71450	0.85215	3.14515	4.32596	4.34066	0.0034
<i>Ca</i> ⁺	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.82478	2.40060	11.3354	11.87172	0.0452
<i>Sc</i> ²⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.76196	1.65261	24.6988	24.75666	0.0023
<i>Ti</i> ³⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.70013	1.29998	41.8647	43.2672	0.0324
<i>V</i> ⁴⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.64511	1.08245	62.8474	65.2817	0.0373
<i>Cr</i> ⁵⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.59718	0.93156	87.6329	90.6349	0.0331
<i>Mn</i> ⁶⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.55552	0.81957	116.2076	119.203	0.0251
<i>Fe</i> ⁷⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.51915	0.73267	148.5612	151.06	0.0165
<i>Co</i> ⁸⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.48720	0.66303	184.6863	186.13	0.0078
<i>Ni</i> ⁹⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.45894	0.60584	224.5772	224.6	0.0001
<i>Cu</i> ¹⁰⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.43379	0.55797	268.2300	265.3	-0.0110
<i>Zn</i> ¹¹⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.41127	0.51726	315.6418	310.8	-0.0156

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212)) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255)) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the three sets of paired 3p inner electrons of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.399) (Eq. (67)).

^f Radius of the unpaired 4s outer electron of nineteen-electron atoms from Eq. (10.425) (Eq. (60)) for $Z > 19$ and Eq. (10.414) for *K*.

^g Calculated ionization energies of nineteen-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.426)) (Eq. (61)).

^h From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

ⁱ (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XX. Ionization energies for some twenty-electron atoms.

20 e Atom	Z	r_1 (a_o) ^a	r_3 (a_o) ^b	r_{10} (a_o) ^c	r_{12} (a_o) ^d	r_{18} (a_o) ^e	r_{20} (a_o) ^f	Theoretical Ionization Energies ^g (eV)	Experimenta l Ionization Energies ^h (eV)	Relative Error ⁱ
<i>Ca</i>	20	0.05035	0.21308	0.25149	0.65725	0.82478	2.23009	6.10101	6.11316	0.0020
<i>Sc</i> ⁺	21	0.04794	0.20235	0.23625	0.60857	0.76196	2.04869	13.2824	12.79967	-0.0377
<i>Ti</i> ²⁺	22	0.04574	0.19264	0.22276	0.56666	0.70013	1.48579	27.4719	27.4917	0.0007
<i>V</i> ³⁺	23	0.04374	0.18383	0.21074	0.53022	0.64511	1.19100	45.6956	46.709	0.0217
<i>Cr</i> ⁴⁺	24	0.04191	0.17579	0.19995	0.49822	0.59718	1.00220	67.8794	69.46	0.0228
<i>Mn</i> ⁵⁺	25	0.04022	0.16842	0.19022	0.46990	0.55552	0.86867	93.9766	95.6	0.0170
<i>Fe</i> ⁶⁺	26	0.03867	0.16165	0.18140	0.44466	0.51915	0.76834	123.9571	124.98	0.0082
<i>Co</i> ⁷⁺	27	0.03723	0.15540	0.17336	0.42201	0.48720	0.68977	157.8012	157.8	0.0000
<i>Ni</i> ⁸⁺	28	0.03589	0.14961	0.16601	0.40158	0.45894	0.62637	195.4954	193	-0.0129
<i>Cu</i> ⁹⁺	29	0.03465	0.14424	0.15926	0.38305	0.43379	0.57401	237.0301	232	-0.0217
<i>Zn</i> ¹⁰⁺	30	0.03349	0.13925	0.15304	0.36617	0.41127	0.52997	282.3982	274	-0.0307

^a Radius of the paired 1s inner electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.51) (Eq. (60)).

^b Radius of the paired 2s inner electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.62) (Eq. (60)).

^c Radius of the three sets of paired 2p inner electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.212) (Eq. (64)).

^d Radius of the paired 3s inner electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.255) (Eq. (60)).

^e Radius of the three sets of paired 3p inner electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.399) (Eq. (67)).

^f Radius of the paired 4s outer electrons of twenty-electron atoms from Eq. (10.445) (Eq. (60)) for $Z > 20$ and Eq. (10.436) for *Ca*.

^g Calculated ionization energies of twenty-electron atoms given by the electric energy (Eq. (10.446)) (Eq. (61)).

^h From theoretical calculations, interpolation of isoelectronic and spectral series, and experimental data [25, 28].

ⁱ (Experimental-theoretical)/experimental.

Table XXI. Summary of the parameters of atoms filling the 1s, 2s, 3s, and 4s orbitals.

Atom Type	Electron Configuration	Ground State Term ^a	Orbital Arrangement of s Electrons (s state)	Diamag. Force Factor <i>A</i> ^b	Paramag. Force Factor <i>B</i> ^c	Diamag. Force Factor <i>C</i> ^d	Paramag. Force Factor <i>D</i> ^e	Diamag. Force Factor <i>E</i> ^f
Neutral 1 e Atom <i>H</i>	$1s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 1s	0	0	0	0	0
Neutral 2 e Atom <i>He</i>	$1s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 1s	0	0	0	1	0
Neutral 3 e Atom <i>Li</i>	$2s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 2s	1	0	0	0	0
Neutral 4 e Atom <i>Be</i>	$2s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 2s	1	0	0	1	0
Neutral 11 e Atom <i>Na</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 3s	1	0	8	0	0
Neutral 12 e Atom <i>Mg</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 3s	1	3	12	1	0
Neutral 19 e Atom <i>K</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 4s	2	0	12	0	0
Neutral 20 e Atom <i>Ca</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 4s	1	3	24	1	0
1 e Ion	$1s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 1s	0	0	0	0	0
2 e Ion	$1s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 1s	0	0	0	1	0
3 e Ion	$2s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 2s	1	0	0	0	1
4 e Ion	$2s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 2s	1	0	0	1	1
11 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^1$	$^2S_{1/2}$	\uparrow 3s	1	4	8	0	$1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$
12 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2$	1S_0	$\uparrow\downarrow$ 3s	1	6	0	0	

								$1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$
19 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^1$	${}^2S_{1/2}$	$\frac{\uparrow}{4s}$	3	0	24	0	$2 - \sqrt{2}$
20 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^2$	1S_0	$\frac{\uparrow\downarrow}{4s}$	2	0	24	0	$2 - \sqrt{2}$

^a The theoretical ground state terms match those given by NIST [29].

^b Eq. (10.11).

^c Eq. (10.55).

^d Eq. (10.221).

^e Eq. (7.15).

^f Eqs. (10.35), (10.229), and (10.418).

Table XXII. Summary of the parameters of five through ten-electron atoms.

Atom Type	Electron Configuration	Ground State Term ^a	Orbital Arrangement of 2p Electrons (2p state)	Diamagnetic Force Factor A ^b	Paramagnetic Force Factor B ^c
Neutral 5 e Atom <i>B</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$	$^2P_{1/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	2	0
Neutral 6 e Atom <i>C</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^2$	3P_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0
Neutral 7 e Atom <i>N</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^3$	$^4S_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1
Neutral 8 e Atom <i>O</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$	3P_2	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	1	2
Neutral 9 e Atom <i>F</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5$	$^2P_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	3
Neutral 10 e Atom <i>Ne</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$	1S_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	0	3
5 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$	$^2P_{1/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	1
6 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^2$	3P_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	4
7 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^3$	$^4S_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	6
8 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$	3P_2	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	6
9 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5$	$^2P_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	9
10 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$	1S_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	12

^a The theoretical ground state terms match those given by NIST [29].

^b Eq. (10.82).

^c Eqs. (10.83-10.84) and (10.89).

Table XXIII. Summary of the parameters of thirteen through eighteen-electron atoms.

Atom Type	Electron Configuration	Ground State Term ^a	Orbital Arrangement of 3p Electrons (3p state)	Diamagnetic Force Factor A^b	Paramagnetic Force Factor B^c
Neutral 13 e Atom <i>Al</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^1$	$^2P_{1/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{11}{3}$	0
Neutral 14 e Atom <i>Si</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^2$	3P_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{7}{3}$	0
Neutral 15 e Atom <i>P</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^3$	$^4S_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	2
Neutral 16 e Atom <i>S</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^4$	3P_2	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	1
Neutral 17 e Atom <i>Cl</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^5$	$^2P_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	2
Neutral 18 e Atom <i>Ar</i>	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6$	1S_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	4
13 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^1$	$^2P_{1/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	12
14 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^2$	3P_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \text{---} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	16
15 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^3$	$^4S_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	0	24
16 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^4$	3P_2	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	24
17 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^5$	$^2P_{3/2}^0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	32
18 e Ion	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6$	1S_0	$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$	0	40

^a The theoretical ground state terms match those given by NIST [29].

^b Eq. (10.258).

^c Eqs. (10.260-10.264).

Figure 1. The orbitsphere is a two dimensional spherical shell of zero thickness with the Bohr radius of the hydrogen atom, $r = a_H$.




Figure 2. The current pattern of the orbitsphere from the perspective of looking along the z-axis. The current and charge density are confined to two dimensions at $r_n = nr_1$. The corresponding charge density function is uniform.




Figure 3. The orbital function modulates the constant (spin) function (shown for $t = 0$; three-dimensional view).




Figure 4. The normalized radius as a function of the velocity due to relativistic contraction.




Figure 5. The magnetic field of an electron orbitsphere (z-axis defined as the vertical axis).

